CHAPTER IV

MORTALITY LEVELS, TRENDS AND DIFFERENTIALS

- Krishna Prasad Shrestha

1. Introduction

Data on deaths have to be obtained through censuses and surveys since there is no effective vital registration system in Nepal. Even the data obtained through censuses and surveys suffer from various problems related to quantity and quality. In the 1991 census, information on deaths during the twelve-month period preceding the date of census was obtained. The number of deaths reported was 98,513, which would yield a crude death rate of less than 6 per 1000 population. This obviously is unacceptably very low. Thus the mortality estimation has to be performed by indirect means.

2. Estimation of Mortality Level

2.1 Estimation of mortality based on age distribution of population at one point of time.

The age distribution of population can be used to estimate vital rates. This method assumes stability of age distribution and consequently of constancy of mortality and fertility. Stability of age structure is satisfied to a greater extent in the case of females than males since males migrate more than females at the national level. For Nepal which has open borders with India, it is appropriate to use female age distribution in the derivation of stable estimate of mortality. When there are distortions in the age distribution, stable ogive matching the age 35 i.e., C(35) is suggested for use (UN, 1967, p. 23). Using the reported female age distribution of 1991 census and the 1981-1991 inter-censal average annual female growth rate of 2.35 per cent, the level of female mortality has been estimated. Corresponding to C(35)¹, the life table level (according to Coale-Demeny West Model female) was 11.74. The female life expectancy at birth, thus estimated, comes to 46.9 years. This obviously is an under-estimate since the estimated female life expectancy in 1981 was over 48 years (CBS, 1987a, p. 260).

¹ Using C(35) along with 1_5 would be a better procedure in determining the level of life table. Unfortunately the estimate of 1_5 is not reliable since, the data on children ever born and children surviving are very poor in the 1991 census. For a detailed discussion, see section 2.4 of this chapter.

Female age distribution around the slime time is also available from the Nepal Fertility, Family Planning and I Health Survey (Household data) conducted in 1991-1992 (MOH, 1993). Use could also be made of this age distribution to estimate the level of female mortality. The question was whether to take the growth rate based on census-recorded population or the rate obtained from census-adjusted population². The true rate may lie between these two. An average of the two values, namely, 2.51 per cent was chosen for use in the estimation of female mortality based on the female age distribution from the survey. As may be seen from Table 1, the expectation of life at birth thus estimated, is 53.65 years. This value is in the acceptable range.

The enumerated population of 1991 was not only suspected for census undercount but also known to be suffering from age reporting errors. For example there are fewer persons recorded in the age group 0-4 compared to 5-9 age group. Hence adjusted age distribution was obtained by estimating the population at ages under 10 years and by smoothing the age distribution for ages 10+ (see Chapter 1, this Volume). Based on the adjusted age distribution³ and adjusted growth rate of females, the expectation of life at birth for females was estimated to be 53.8 years as shown in Table 1. Shown in the same table are !he stable population estimates derived from the 1981, 1971 and 1961 census female age distributions.

The adjusted and the reported 1991 census age distributions for females are the following:

| Age | Reported | Adjusted |
|-------|----------|----------|
| 00-04 | 14.4 | 16.5 |
| 05-09 | 14.8 | 13.8 |
| 10-14 | 12.1 | 11.6 |
| 15-19 | 9.9 | 10.1 |
| 20-24 | 9.3 | 9.0 |
| 25-29 | 7.8 | 7.8 |
| 30-34 | 6.5 | 6.4 |
| 35-39 | 5.5 | 5.4 |
| 40-44 | 4.7 | 4.6 |
| 45-49 | 3.9 | 3.8 |
| 50-54 | 3.1 | 3.0 |
| 55-59 | 2.3 | 2.4 |
| 60+ | 5.7 | 5.6 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 |

² The enumerated population in the 1991 census was suspected to be suffering from the problem of under-counting. There are indirect indications that the overall census under-count could be around 4 per cent with a lower value for females than males. If we consider an under-count of 3 per cent for females, adjustment For completeness of 97 per cent would yield a total female population of 9,556,828 in 1991, resulting in an adjusted average annual female growth rate of 2.66 per cent during 1981-1991 while the recorded growth rate for females during this period was 2.35 per cent.

| | Coale-Demeny Female | Female Stable Population e° | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|------|----------|
| | West Model Life Table Level | CBR | CDR | (female) |
| 1991censusadjustedfemale age distribution(Adjustedgrowthrate:2.66%)growthgrowth | 14.53 | 40.0 | 13.4 | 53.82 |
| 1991/92 NFHS <u>1</u> / female age distribution (Average of reported and adjusted growth rates : 2.51%) | 14.46 | 38.7 | 13.6 | 53.65 |
| 1981 census smoothed <u>2/</u> female age distribution (Growth Rate: 2.44%) | 13.48 | 39.4 | 15.0 | 51.20 |
| 1971 census smoothed <u>2/</u> female age distribution (Growth rate: 1.80%) | 9.34 | 40.6 | 22.6 | 40.85 |
| 1961 census smoothed <u>2/</u> female age distribution (Growth rate: 1.60%) | 8.38 | 40.8 | 24.8 | 38.45 |

Table 1:Stable Population Estimates of Female e°. and the Corresponding CBR and CDRBased on Female Age Distribution and Growth Rate, Nepal, 1961-1991.

Source:

1/ Computed from MOH, 1993, household age distribution, p. 23

 $\underline{2}$ CBS, 1987a, p. 260.

The 1991 estimates obtained from the census and the survey are very consistent, the e° values for females being 53.8 and 53.7 respectively. The 1981 estimate of 51.2 does not seem to fit well into the trend. The mortality level seems to have been somewhat underestimated, the corresponding CDR being 15.0 per 1000 population. This under-estimation is obviously related to the higher growth rate during the inter-censal period 1971-1981. The drop in CDR from 22.6 in 1971 to 15.0 in 1981 amounts to about 33 per cent and the corresponding increase in e° being from 40.8 to 51.2 years. It is not known whether the very high inter-censal growth rate of population was on account of a precipitous fall in mortality or due to heavy immigration. In either case, the application of stable population technique would be inappropriate and hence the resulting 1981 estimates should be treated with caution.

Evidence of a gradual decline in mortality over the years is also provided by the 1991 census age distribution. Life table levels estimated at different ages are shown in Table 2. Life table levels, based on the cumulative age distribution of population, at younger ages viz.. upto 25 years, are larger than those at older ages, indicating a decline in mortality over the years.

| 1991 Census Female Population | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Age x | Reported Cumulative age distribution C (x) | age distribution Model Life Table Level | Adjusted Cumulative age distribution C (x) | age distribution Model Life Table Level | | | |
| 5 | 0.1442 | 18.35 | 0.1647 | 14.53 | | | |
| 10 | 0.2926 | 12.98 | 0.3024 | 14.70 | | | |
| 15 | 0.4132 | 12.30 | 0.4181 | 15.29 | | | |
| 20 | 0.5118 | 12.78 | 0.5186 | 15.47 | | | |
| 25 | 0.6044 | 12.13 | 0.6090 | 15.11 | | | |
| 30 | 0.6827 | 11.77 | 0.6871 | 14.60 | | | |
| 35 | 0.7476 | 11.74 | 0.7512 | 14.53 | | | |
| 40 | 0.8028 | 11.69 | 0.8055 | 14.46 | | | |
| 45 | 0.8495 | 11.64 | 0.8516 | 14.31 | | | |
| 50 | 0.8883 | 11.65 | 0.8898 | 14.23 | | | |
| 55 | 0.9197 | 11.84 | 0.9150 | 16.00 | | | |

Table 2: Model Life Table Levels 1/ Corresponding to Cumulative Age Distribution of
Females, 1991 Census, Nepal

Source: <u>1</u>/ Coale-Demeny, 1966, (West Model Female).

2.2 Estimation of inter-censal mortality based on two census age distributions

For the reason mentioned earlier, namely, the female age distribution is influenced by migration to a much less extent than that of males, the present set of estimations have been carried out on the female age distributions.

2.2.1 Preston-Bennett Technique

This method (Preston and Bennett, 1983) estimates levels of life expectancy at ages 5 and above. It uses two population age distributions and assumes that the completeness of enumeration and age reporting errors are of the same extent at the two points of time. Based on the inter-censal growth rate of population in each age group, this technique estimates the cumulative number of years lived by the population and the number of person-years at exact ages, from which life expectancies are computed at ages 5 and above (Arriaga, 1992). Since this technique does not provide an estimate

of life expectancy at birth, the implied e°_0} values from known model life tables are obtained, as shown in Table 3. This exercise has been carried out using the reported as well as the adjusted female age distribution of 1991 census. In Table 3, the estimated life expectancies at ages 10, 15 and 20 are used to obtain the implied e°_0} values as well as an average of these. The average value of female e°_0} based on the adjusted age distribution is about 53.02 years whereas that based on the reported age distribution is 47.86 years, the average being 50.44 years as implied by the West model. The corresponding average value implied by the North Model is 48.5 years.

2.2.2 Integrated Technique

This technique, developed by Preston (1983) estimates level of mortality, crude birth rate etc. for the inter-censal period, utilizing the age structure from two consecutive censuses. This method provides estimates of the level of mortality using life expectancies for ages 5 years and above. The results based on reported age distribution, presented in Table 4, indicate that the inter-censal estimate of female e° was around 47 years with the corresponding CBR and CDR being around 42 and 18 respectively. Use of adjusted 1991 age distribution, instead of the reported age distribution, provided a lower mortality level - the intercensal estimate of e° being nearly 49 years and the corresponding CBR and CDR around 44 and 17 respectively.

It may be recognized that inter-censal migration produces a significant effect on the level of mortality estimated by this method. Age misreporting has an impact on level of mortality as well as on crude birth rate. Further, since this method is sensitive to differential completeness of enumeration of the two censuses, the estimates presented in Table 4 should not be accepted at their face value. In view of the contention that a certain amount of upward bias in 1981 and a downward bias in 1991 census enumerations were probably present, the mortality estimated by this method would be on the lower side.

The inter-censal estimates of female e° during 1961-1971, 1971-1981 and 1981-1991 are presented in Table 5. Estimates derived by extrapolation of these trends to the year 1991 are shown in the same table.

| Age X | Life Expectancy at age x | Implied e% for females a 7 Coale-Demeny Model | | | | |
|----------|-----------------------------|---|----------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| | | West | North | South | | |
| | | Based on 199 | 1 Census Reported Fe | emale Age Distribution | | |
| 10 | 50.43 | 47.17 | 46.12 | 41.71 | | |
| 15 | 48.82 | 51.94 | 49.82 | 46.53 | | |
| 20 | 41.21 | 44.46 | 41.86 | 38.62 | | |
| Average | | 47.86 | 45.93 | 42.29 | | |
| | | Based on 199 | 1 Census Adjusted Fo | emale Age Distribution | | |
| 10 | 54.12 | 53.96 | 52.50 | 51.12 | | |
| 15 | 51.45 | 57.27 | 55.45 | 51.78 | | |
| 20 | 42.85 | 47.83 | 45.27 | 42.10 | | |
| | Average | 53.02 | 51.07 | 48.33 | | |
| | Overall Average | 50.44 | 48.50 | 45.31 | | |

Table 3: Estimates of Life Expectancy for Females by Age, Based on 1981-1991, Intercensal Survival, Using Preston-Bennett Method

Table 4: Estimates of e^{oo}, CBR and CDR for Females Based on 1981-1991, Inter-censal Survival, Using Integrated Technique

| Age Range | CBR | CDR | e°。 (Coale-Demeny Model: West) |
|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|
| | Based on 199 | 1 Census Repor | ted Female Age Distribution |
| 05-60 | 40.92 | 17.40 | 47.45 |
| 10-60 | 41.87 | 18.36 | 46.71 |
| 15-60 | 43.07 | 19.55 | 45.81 |
| 05-70 | 40.61 | 17.09 | 47.98 |
| Average | 41.62 | 18.10 | 46.99 |
| | Based on 19 | 91 Census Adjus | sted Female Age Distribution |
| 05-60 | 43.49 | 16.93 | 49.03 |
| 10-60 | 43.97 | 17.41 | 48.65 |
| 15-60 | 45.61 | 19.05 | 47.48 |
| 05-70 | 42.92 | 16.36 | 49.96 |
| Average | 44.00 | 17.43 | 48.78 |
| Overall Average | 42.81 | 17.77 | 47.88 |

| Inter-censal Period | Female e ^o . |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1961-1971 (1966) <u>1</u> / | 36.66 |
| 1971-1981 (1976) <u>1</u> / | 44.29 |
| 1981-1991 (1986) <u>2</u> / | 49.16 |
| Estimate for 1991: | |
| Extrapolation based on: 1976-1986 | 51.60 |
| 1966-1986 | 52.28 |

Table 5: Average Inter-censal 1961-1971, 1971-1981 and 1981-1991 Estimates of Female Life Expectancy at Birth and Estimates for 1991

Source:

1/ CBS, 1987a, Table 11.9, p. 268

2/ Average of Preston-Bennett Estimate of 50.44 (Table 3)

and Integrated Technique Estimate of 47.88 (Table 4).

2.3 Widowhood Method for Estimating Adult Male Mortality

Estimation of male mortality is more problematic than female mortality when indirect methods of estimation are contemplated. This is because age distribution from one or two censuses are often used in indirect estimation and presence of migration biases these estimates. The age distributions of males in general and particularly in the case of Nepal are affected by migration. Widowhood method (UN, 1983, p.111) provides an opportunity to estimate male mortality. Proportion of women who are not widowed at different ages, form the basic data in this method, and these are available in censuses in the form of age-marital status distribution of women. In the 1991 census of Nepal, such information was obtained on females aged 10 years and above. About 0.7 per cent of these women did not provide information on marital status and hence were classified as the not-stated category. It is contended that in the social context of Nepal, women of dissolved marriages namely, the widowed/divorced/separated, are not always enthusiastic about reporting their marital status. Marital status not-stated category are distributed, on prorata basis, to the widowed, divorced and separated categories of women. Proportion of females not-widowed, by age group, and the estimated probability of adult male surviving to different ages from age 20 are shown in Table 6. Estimated life expectancy at birth for various reference dates are also shown in Table 6.

| Age group of | Proportions of | Probability of an Adult | | Male Life | Reference |
|--------------|----------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|
| Respondent | Females Not | Male Sur | viving from age | Expectancy at | Date |
| | Widowed | 20 | to age x | Birth Coale- | |
| | | Age x | Hill-Trussell | Demeny | |
| | | | Equation | Model West | |
| 20-25 | 0.9950 | 25 | 0.9943 | 70.7 | Aug. 1989 |
| 25-30 | 0.9899 | 30 | 0.9911 | 72.3 | May 1987 |
| 30-35 | 0.9805 | 35 | 0.9832 | 71.1 | Feb. 1985 |
| 35-40 | 0.9602 | 40 | 0.9625 | 67.7 | Jan. 1983 |
| 40-45 | 0.9262 | 45 | 0.9280 | 64.7 | Feb. 1981 |
| 45-50 | 0.8765 | 50 | 0.8789 | 62.2 | Jul. 1979 |
| 50-55 | 0.7948 | 55 | 0.8003 | 59.1 | Jan. 1978 |
| 55-60 | 0.7317 | 60 | 0.7433 | LT 20.0 | Feb. 1977 |

Table 6: Estimates of Adult Male Mortality by Widowhood Method, 1991 Census of Nepal

Source: Appendix Table Al.

The estimated e_{o}° for males is far higher than acceptable level by any standards. The values are more than 70 years. This lower estimate of male mortality may be attributable to under-estimation of the proportion of widowed women. The method seeks information on widowhood from first marriage whereas the census data provides only information on current marital status. The proportion of widowed women in the census would thus be lower than the proportion widowed from first marriage by the extent of widow-remarriage in the community.

2.4 Estimation of Infant and Child Mortality Using Data on Children Ever Born and Children Surviving, by Age of Mother

Estimation of infant and child mortality is possible from data on children ever born and children surviving at the time of a census or survey. Instead of assuming constancy of mortality, dating of estimates could be accomplished. These time reference estimates are obtained by Coale and Trussel Method based on Coale-Demeny model life tables and also by Palloni-Heligman method based on the United Nations model life tables (Arriaga, 1992).

Estimations were accomplished using data from the 1991 census and also from the 1991/92 NFHS. Average number of children ever born and still surviving, by age of mother, from the census and the Survey are shown in Table 7. Estimates of infant mortality rate $(_1q_0)$, child mortality rate $(_4q_1)$ and life expectancy at birth (e°_0) are obtained using census and also survey data. From Table 8, it

may be seen that, based on West model life table, the census yielded an infant mortality rate of 67, child mortality rate of 27 and life expectancy at birth of 60.7 years for March 1989. On the other hand the survey data yielded IMR of 102, CMR of 51 and e° of 53.6 years for October 1989. The estimates based on the survey data are obviously more acceptable than those based on the census data. The very low estimate of mortality yielded by the census data is clearly on account of data deficiency. From Table 7, it may be seen that the proportion of dead children by age groups, are all lower in the census than in the survey. Failure to report the dead children seems to have occurred to a great extent in the census. Table 7 shows that the proportion of children ever born is significantly lower in the 1991 census than in 1991-92 NFHS at all ages. It may be realized that the average number of children ever born in the census are very close to the average number of children surviving in the Survey, at all ages. This indicates that in the census, many women may have reported the surviving number, also for the question on ever born number. This is not uncommon in developing Countries where illiterate women tend not to distinguish between children born alive and children living. This problem becomes acute in the census whereas in a survey this information is obtained through a number of questions. Also more time is available to probe and elicit correct response in a sample survey compared to a total census. The estimated life expectancy at birth of 53.6 years for both sexes, as of October 19 89, derived from the survey data seems close to the true level.

Table 7: Proportion of Children Ever Born and Surviving by Age of Mother for1991Census and 1991/92 NFHS.

| Census 1991 | | | | NFHS 1991/92 | | | |
|-------------|-----------|----------------|-------|--------------|---------------|------------|--|
| Age of | Averag | ge no. of Chil | dren | Average no. | of Children H | Proportion | |
| women | | Proportion | | | | | |
| | Ever Born | Surviving | Dead | Ever Born | Surviving | Dead | |
| 15-19 | 0.170 | 0.158 | 0.071 | 0.150 | 0.132 | 0.120 | |
| 20-24 | 1.155 | 1.068 | 0.075 | 1.332 | 1.178 | 0.116 | |
| 25-29 | 2.346 | 2.141 | 0.087 | 2.749 | 2.371 | 0.138 | |
| 30-34 | 3.275 | 2.936 | 0.104 | 3.840 | 3.229 | 0.159 | |
| 35-39 | 3.959 | 3.468 | 0.124 | 4.731 | 3.818 | 0.193 | |
| 40-44 | 4.351 | 3.712 | 0.147 | 5.309 | 4.218 | 0.206 | |
| 45-49 | 4.433 | 3.689 | 0.168 | 5.653 | 4.322 | 0.235 | |

Source:- CBS, 1993c. Appendix Table A2 MOH 1993, Appendix Table A3

Note: NFHS 1991/92 data appearing in this table differs very slightly from the data contained in the published main report (MOH, 1993). The values in Fable 7 were computed from the original data tape. It became necessary to use the original tape because the published tables did not contain subnational level tables which were need in the present study.

| Age | 1991 Census | | | | 1991/92 NFHS | | | |
|-------|-------------|-------|-------|------|--------------|-------|-------|------|
| group | Ref. date | IMR | CMR | e°° | Ref. date | IMR | CMR | e°° |
| 15-19 | Jul. 1990 | 0.079 | 0.035 | 58.1 | Feb. 1991 | 0.145 | 0.083 | 45.9 |
| 20-24 | Mar. 1989 | 0.067 | 0.027 | 60.7 | Oct. 1989 | 0.102 | 0.051 | 53.6 |
| 25-29 | Feb. 1987 | 0.068 | 0.028 | 60.5 | Sep. 1987 | 0.103 | 0.051 | 53.4 |
| 30-34 | Sep. 1984 | 0.074 | 0.031 | 59.2 | Feb. 1984 | 0.108 | 0.055 | 52.4 |
| 35-39 | Dec. 1981 | 0.082 | 0.036 | 57.7 | Mar. 1982 | 0.121 | 0.065 | 50.0 |
| 40-44 | Feb. 1979 | 0.089 | 0.041 | 56.2 | Mar. 1979 | 0.120 | 0.064 | 50.2 |
| 45-49 | Mar. 1976 | 0.092 | 0.044 | 55.5 | Apr. 1976 | 0.126 | 0.068 | 49.1 |

Table 8: Estimates of Infant Mortality, Child Mortality and Life Expectancy at Birth (Both Sexes) Based on Data on Children Ever Born and Children Surviving, 1991 Census and 1991/92 NFHS

Source:- Appendix Tables: A2, A3.

Note: Estimates based on Coale-Demeny West Model. IMR: Probability of death before one year of age. CMR: Probability of dying between ages 1 and 5 years.

2.5 Plausible Estimates of Mortality in 1991 for Nepal

Stable population analysis performed on the female age distribution yielded an estimate of e°_o} of 53.8 years for the 1991 census and 53.7 in the case of 1991/92 NFHS data (Table 1). The Inter-censal Survival methods and their extrapolation to 1991 yielded an estimate of e°_o} of 51.6 for females according to the 1976-1986 trend and 52.3 according to the 1966-1986 trend (Table 5). The census counts of 1981 and 1991 are suspected for a certain degree of over and under-enumeration respectively (Chapter XV, this volume). Taking into account, the nature of the differential completeness of coverage in the 1981 and 1991 censuses, the Inter-censal Survival Methods are to be treated as somewhat of under-estimates. In view of this, an estimate of about 53.5 years for e°_o} in 1991 appears appropriate for females.

The estimate of e° for males could not be based on any hard data. However it has been known that e° for males had all along been higher than that of females in Nepal. Larger male than female e° has more or less been the pattern in countries of the Indian subcontinent. During 1971-1981 in Nepal, the male e° was estimated to be about 2.0 years higher than that of females (CBS, 1987, p. 268). In view of a likely narrowing of a male-female gap in e° , a gap of 1.5 years is assumed in 1991, thus placing the male e° at 55.0 years. Assuming a sex ratio at birth of 105 males per 100 females, the e° for both sexes is estimated as 54.26 years for 1991.

The estimated e°₀ for both sexes, based on children ever born and children surviving, is 53.6 years and this estimate refers to October 1989 (Table 9). The present estimate of 54.26 years for e°o both sexes in 1991 is consistent with the above estimate derived from data on early childhood mortality mentioned above. The corresponding rates of infant and child mortality and the resulting Crude Death Rate, are also summarized in Table 9.

| Method | Estimated e ^o | Sex | Reference Year |
|---|--------------------------|--------|-----------------------|
| Extrapolation of inter-censal estin | nations: <u>1</u> | / | |
| Trend based on 1976-86 | 51.60 | Female | 1991 |
| 1966-86 | 52.28 | Female | 1991 |
| Stable Population Analysis: <u>2</u> / | | | |
| Census 1991 | 53.82 | Female | 1991 |
| NFHS 1991/92 | 53.65 | Female | 1991 |
| Proportion Dead Among | 53.60 | Both | Oct. 1989 |
| Children Ever Born: $3/$ | | Sexes | |
| Plausible Estimate | 53.50 | Female | 1991 |
| Mortality Indices for 1991 | Male | Female | Both Sexes |
| Expectation of Life at Birth (e° ₀) | 55.00 <u>4</u> / | 53.50 | 54.26 <u>5</u> / |
| Life Table Level (Coale-Demeny West Model) | 16.37 | 14.40 | 15.41 |
| Infant Mortality Rate (1q0) | 94 | 101 | 97 |
| Child Mortality Rate (4 _q 1) | 36 | 50 | 43 |
| Crude Death Rate (CDR) 6/ | 12.9 | 13.6 | 13.3 |

Table 9: Plausible Estimate of Female e^o and Mortality Indices for Nepal, 1991.

Source:

See Table 5

See Table 1

 $\frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{3}{4}}$ $\frac{\frac{4}{5}}{\frac{6}{5}}$ See Table.8

 e° for males assumed to be 1.5 years greater than that of females

 e° for both sexes assumes sex ratio at birth of 105 males per 100 females

Obtained by applying the age-specific death rates to the adjusted age distribution of males and females (CBS, 1994).

3. **Trends and Differentials in Mortality**

3.1 Crude Death Rates 1961-1991

One of the commonly used measures of mortality is the Crude Death Rate (CDR) which is the ratio of annual number of deaths to the mid-year population. Various estimates of CDR available since 1961 are presented in Table 10. Needless to state, these estimates do not present a very consistent trend. This is because they were obtained from two distinct types of sources of data, namely, the decennial censuses and periodic surveys. While there are basic differences between these two types of sources with regard to the extent of coverage and the quality of data on deaths, the differences among censuses and among surveys themselves are not trivial either. The coverage of deaths in the censuses has always been poor, requiring the use of indirect methods for estimation. Age reporting errors, differential completeness of population coverage between consecutive censuses have all contributed to biases in the indirect estimates. The direct data obtained from surveys suffer also from sampling errors. The Demographic Sample Surveys of 1976, 1977 and 1978 were longitudinal in nature.

| | | Crude Death Rates | | |
|--|--------------------|--------------------------|-------|---------|
| Source | Period of estimate | Both sexes | Males | Females |
| Gubhaju, 1975 1/ | 1961 | 22.0 | | |
| Central Bureau of Statistics, 1977 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1961 - 71 | 21.4 | 21.3 | 22.6 |
| Demographic Sample Survey, 1976 1/ | 1974 - 75 | 19.5 | 18.6 | 20.4 |
| Demographic Sample Survey, 1977 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1976 | 22.2 | 21.5 | 22.8 |
| Demographic Sample Survey, 1978 1/ | 1977 - 78 | 17.1 | 17.9 | 16.2 |
| Central Bureau of Statistics, 1985 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1971 - 81 | 13.5 | 12.2 | 14.9 |
| New Era, 1986 ^{1/} | 1984 | 10.9 | 10.8 | 11.0 |
| Demographic Sample Survey, 1987 ^{2/} | 1986 - 87 | 16.1 | | |
| Central Bureau of Statistics $\frac{3}{2}$ | 1991 | 13.3 | 12.9 | 13.6 |

Table 10: Trends in Crude Death Rate by Sex, Nepal, 1961-91

Source:

 $\frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{2}{3}}$ CBS, 1987a.Table 13.1 p. 298

CBS. 2044/45. pp. 41-43

Table 9.

The CDR was a little over 20 in the 1960's which came down to under 20 in the 1970"s which seems to have further reduced to under 14 by 1991. The inter-censal estimate of 11.3 during 1971-1981 and the survey estimate of 10.9 for 1984 (New Era 1986) appear to be on the low side. The New Era estimate was a direct estimate based on the deaths during the two-year period preceding the survey and thus subject to under-reporting of deaths. Similarly the estimated CDR of 13.5 was

based on the intercensal 1971-1981 growth rate which was suspected to be unusually high. The Demographic Sample Survey (1987) obtained information in a prospective manner through three visits made during 1986-1987, the CDR estimated from which comes to 16.1.

In spite of the fluctuations, one thing is clear, namely, between 1961 and 1991 the CDR seems to have declined nearly by a third, which is an impressive decline by any standards. Sex differential in mortality has been such that higher female than male mortality had persisted all along.

3.2 Age Sex Patterns of Mortality

The risk of death varies with age and is generally the highest at the very young and at the very old ages. The age pattern of death varies with the level of development. At low level of development the age curve of mortality assumes U-shape which transforms to J-shape as the level of development rises. This is because the impact of improvements in public health is faster and greater on mortality at infant and childhood ages than at older ages. The higher mortality of early childhood drops fast as age increases reaching about the lowest levels by teens and early twenties, increasing slowly thereafter upto about age 40 and then faster to old ages.

| | 1000 |) | | 1 | | | | |
|-------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Age | | Males | | | | Females | | |
| group | 1974/75 <u>1</u> / | 1976 <u>1</u> / | 1977/78 <u>1</u> / | 1986/87 <u>2</u> / | 1974/75 <u>1</u> / | 1976 <u>1</u> / | 1977/78 <u>1</u> / | 1986/87 <u>3</u> / |
| 0 | 141.2 | 128.4 | 109.9 | 111.2 | 123.0 | 137.9 | 97.9 | 104.6 |
| 1-4 | 33.2 | 32.6 | 23.4 | 20.1 | 35.9 | 37.2 | 22.1 | 35.8 |
| 5-14 | 4.8 | 5.2 | 4.7 | 3.4 | 5.6 | 6.1 | 5.2 | 6.6 |
| 15-24 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 7.9 | 6.0 | 4.3 | 4.1 |
| 25-34 | 4.7 | 7.3 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 7.7 | 10.7 | 6.5 | 4.2 |
| 35-44 | 6.7 | 8.0 | 11.9 | 7.0 | 12.6 | 14.8 | 10.2 | 6.8 |
| 55-64 | 36.2 | 45.1 | 33.0 | 34.2 | 38.2 | 48.1 | 39.2 | 28.9 |
| 65+ | 98.3 | 134.5 | 116.7 | 82.1 | 120.8 | 108.1 | 102.3 | 99.1 |
| All | 18.6 | 21.5 | 17.9 | 15.8 | 20.4 | 22.8 | 16.2 | 17.0 |
| ages | | | | | | | | |

Table 11:Adjusted Age-specific Death Rates by Sex Obtained from the Demographic
Sample Surveys of 1974/75, 1976, 1977/78 and 1986/87, Nepal (Rates per
1000)

Source:

1/ CBS, 1987a, Table 13.5, p. 304

CBS, 2044/45, pp.47-48 and CBS, 1987b, Table 1.4 p.33.
 (Urban and Rural ASDR were combined, using the weights of 0.171271 and 0.189478 for urban male and urban female respectively).

In the absence of effective vital registration system, data on deaths should come from censuses and surveys. With census data on deaths suffering from serious problems of coverage and quality, periodic surveys have become the main source of mortality data in Nepal. The demographic sample survey (DSS) of 1974-75, 1976, 1977-78 and 1986-87 collected information on deaths by age and sex. The age specific death rates for males and females adjusted for under-reporting, from these four surveys are presented in Table 11 and graphically shown in Figs. 1, 2.

The age pattern of mortality in Nepal is still U-shaped, both for males and females, signifying a high infant and child mortality. The mortality curve does come down by teenages and early twenties and does rise slowly up to about age 40 and faster there after.

There seems to be a continuous decline in infant and child mortality and this comes out clearly in the case of males than females. It is suspected that coverage errors as well as age reporting errors probably exist to a greater degree among females than males. Even then, the data suggest higher overall mortality for females than males. The data also suggest higher female than male mortality at ages between 15 and 50, more clearly in the mid nineteen seventies and less clearly in the mid nineteen eighties. The higher female than male mortality at these ages should be due to maternal mortality and this apparently had been declining.

The high levels of infant mortality, child mortality and maternal mortality, which not long ago were significant contributors to the overall mortality in Nepal have started coming down. Their actual levels and their time trends are discussed in latter sections.

Knowledge of the age pattern of mortality helps in the identification of appropriate model life table family. Model life tables have become an indispensable tool in the estimation of vital rates and in the projection of population by age and sex for most developing countries. The choice of the life table model and the procedure adopted in its selection for Nepal are discussed below.

3.3 Choice of Model Life Table

In demographic estimation and projection, model life tables are of immense use. During the past decades, Coale-Demeny model life tables have been used in demographic analyses particularly in developing countries. Coale-Demeny model life tables are a set of four families of life tables known as West, North, South and East.





In order to be able to decide which of these models would be appropriate for Nepal, an idea of the age pattern of mortality would be necessary. There is no reliable age distribution of mortality for Nepal to use, in deciding the right family that fits. Completeness in death reporting in Nepal in the 1991 census was less than 40 per cent. Thus, the search for the appropriate model life table for Nepal had to be based on fragmented data. The following is an attempt in that direction.

In Table 12, infant mortality rate(1qo) and child mortality rate (4q1) are shown for the four families of Coale- Demeny model life tables corresponding to life expectancy at birth (e_{o}) of 52.5 years for females and around 54.0 years for males, which closely represent the situation in Nepal. The knowledge that the current level of infant mortality in Nepal is around 100 per thousand live births, precludes the suitability of the South and the East models for Nepal. West and the North models appear to be nearer to the Nepalese situation. Between the West and the North, it may be seen that the West model is characterized by lower child mortality and higher infant mortality compared to the North model. It may be noted that causes underlying child mortality are largely exogenous and hence controllable, compared to infant mortality which to a significant extent is related to endogenous causes which are relatively more difficult to Control. In fact child mortality seems to have declined faster than infant mortality in Nepal (Table 13). A high prevalence of immunization may be a significant contributor to the drop in child mortality. Nearly 75 per cent of all children 1-4 years of age are understood to have been immunized for one or the other childhood diseases (MOH, 1993. p.161). Further, the 1991 levels of infant mortality (190) and child mortality (4q1) estimated for Nepal, for both sexes combined, are 98 and 51 respectively (MOH, 1993. p. 136), and these show the closest agreement with the West model.

| | and Around 54.0 I | or males. | | |
|---------------|-------------------|---|---------|-------|
| | | 1000 Q(x) | 6 (1 | E (|
| | west | $\frac{\text{North}}{\text{Female (e^0)} = 52.5}$ | South | East |
| 1a0 | 105.5 | 95.0 | , 121.3 | 131.7 |
| 4 q 1 | 59.8 | 72.8 | 85.9 | 56.2 |
| - | | Male $(e_0^0 = 54.0) \underline{1}/$ | / | |
| ${}_{1}q_{0}$ | 98.6 | 89.6 | 115.1 | 124.3 |
| 4 q 1 | 42.7 | 55.8 | 63.2 | 40.7 |

Table 12:Infant and Child Mortality in the West, North, South and East Families of
Coale- Demeny Model Life Tables Corresponding to e°0, of 52.5 for Females
and Around 54.0 for Males.

Source:

The actual values are 54.137, 53887, 54.103 and 53.683 for West, North South and East Respectively.

| 1991/92 NFHS <u>1</u> / | | | World Development Report 1984 <u>2/</u> | | | | |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|---|------|-----------------|--|--|
| Reference | IMR(1q₀) | Child Mortality | Reference | IMR | Child Mortality | | |
| Year | | $(^{4}q_{1})$ | Year | | (Central D.R.) | | |
| 1976 | .127 | .069 | 1960 | .195 | 33 | | |
| 1989 | .102 | .051 | 1982 | .145 | 22 | | |
| % Decline | 19.7 | 26.1 | | 25.6 | 33.3 | | |

Table 13:Relative Declines in Infant and Child Mortality in Nepal

Source:

1/ MOH, 1993, p. 134 and Appendix F

2/ World Bank, 1994.

These observations point to the appropriateness of the West model, among the four families of the model life tables of Coale-Demeny, for Nepal. Choice of the West model is further supported by the fact that contemporary and past estimations of vital rates have all been based on the West model.

It is not enough to establish the suitability of a model life table on the basis of infant and child mortality. Suitability considerations should also include adult mortality. In an attempt to extend the investigation of the appropriate life table model for Nepal, the age pattern has been compared with the four families of the Coale-Demeny models and also with the U.N. Model Life Tables (UN, 1982). Age specific death rates estimated from the 1986/87 Demographic Sample Survey 4, for males and females, are compared with those of the U.N. and Coale-Demeny model life tables. The results are shown in Table 14 for males and in Table 15 for females.

The age specific mortality schedule of males evidently is more accurate than that of females. The age specific death rates are expected to increase monotonically at older ages. From age group 40-45 onwards, the continuous increase in age specific death rate exhibited by males is absent in the case of females.

Further, the implied life expectancy at birth corresponding to age specific death rate in different age groups are not expected to exhibit much variation. The variation in the case of females is much larger than in the case of males, in all the comparisons with different model life tables. These

⁴ See teh note under Table 11.

variations are indicated by the index labeled as 'Average absolute deviation from Median' computed for the age ranges 0-10, 10+ and 0+. All these indexes of variation, without exception, are larger in the case of females than males. These observations point to the fact that the age specific mortality schedule of males is more accurate than that of females, thus prompting the comparison to be confined to males.

If mortality under age 10 and the mortality over 10 lead to the same life expectancy at birth, then the child mortality - adult mortality link in the country (Nepal) is identical with that of the model life table under comparison. The identically is measured by the difference between the median e°_{0} of age range 0-10 and median e^{0}_{0} of age range 10+; the smaller the difference, the more identical are the age patterns. Based on the comparison of male mortality schedule, it may be concluded that the West Model having the least difference (1.3) is the most appropriate one for Nepal, followed by U.N. General Model (1.8) and North Model (2.3). Once again the appropriateness of the West Model of Coale-Demeny is indicated for Nepal.

3.4 Infant Mortality Rates, 1961-1991

Infant mortality rate (IMR) is conventionally computed as the number of deaths under one year of age per 1000 live births during a period of time, usually one year. IMR has long been considered an indicator of the socio-economic level and general health conditions in a society. This may not be true any more since substantial reductions in IMR are being achieved on account of the development of public health technology without significant improvements in socio-economic development. Infant mortality forms a sizable part of overall mortality in the developing world. For example, in a society which has a CBR of 40 births and a CDR of 16 deaths, per 1000 population, an IMR of 100 means that infant deaths form 25 per cent of all deaths. Reducing the IMR to half its size in such a society would in itself cause at least 12.5 per cent decline in CDR. Reduction of infant mortality is a desirable goal not only from the stand point of achieving a measurable reduction in the overall mortality, but also from its special significance particularly to couples and families. Much of the infant mortality taking place in the developing countries is preventable and hence unnecessary.

| | | U | NITED NA | TIONS | MODEL | S | COAL | E-DEME | ENY MO | ODELS |
|-----------|----------------------|---------------|----------|-------|-------|---------|------|--------|--------|-------|
| Age | Empirical | Latin | Chilean | South | Far | General | West | North | East | South |
| Group | M(X,N) | American | | Asian | East | | | | | |
| 0-1 | .11123 | 54.5 | 56.4 | 57.0 | 46.7 | 52.9 | 53.3 | 51.0 | 56.9 | 57.0 |
| 1-5 | .02011 | 49.7 | 39.4 | 53.1 | 40.9 | 46.1 | 46.2 | 49.4 | 44.8 | 51.6 |
| 5-10 | .00389 | 51.7 | 41.8 | 51.5 | 46.5 | 49.6 | 48.5 | 57.9 | 48.0 | 49.6 |
| 10-15 | .00288 | 46.7 | 42.2 | 41.0 | 47.9 | 46.9 | 48.1 | 53.8 | 41.1 | 44.3 |
| 15-20 | .00466 | 43.8 | 42.8 | 33.5 | 46.9 | 44.9 | 45.9 | 48.7 | 40.3 | 43.0 |
| 20-25 | .00385 | 55.2 | 53.5 | 41.3 | 55.2 | 54.4 | 57.0 | 61.2 | 54.5 | 54.1 |
| 25-30 | .00795 | 43.9 | 44.8 | 28.7 | 46.2 | 43.5 | 43.9 | 45.0 | 36.6 | 40.5 |
| 30-35 | .00326 | 62.1 | 62.6 | 52.8 | 61.3 | 61.2 | 62.1 | 66.0 | 59.7 | 59.3 |
| 35-40 | .00878 | 48.4 | 51.3 | 37.6 | 52.1 | 49.2 | 49.0 | 47.6 | 42.8 | 42.0 |
| 40-45 | .00521 | 62.7 | 64.4 | 57.2 | 64.5 | 63.1 | 62.9 | 63.5 | 60.7 | 59.2 |
| 45-50 | .01237 | 50.7 | 55.2 | 46.0 | 58.2 | 53.7 | 52.0 | 48.7 | 47.9 | 44.2 |
| 50-55 | .01582 | 51.3 | 56.6 | 50.9 | 61.9 | 56.1 | 54.3 | 49.6 | 51.2 | 46.1 |
| 55-60 | .02448 | 47.0 | 54.1 | 48.5 | 60.9 | 53.7 | 50.5 | 43.7 | 47.4 | 41.7 |
| 60-65 | .04386 | 32.9 | 44.8 | 40.2 | 57.7 | 44.9 | 41.3 | 35.4 | 35.3 | 34.5 |
| 65-70 | .08213 | LT20.0 | 28.8 | 22.9 | 47.7 | 29.2 | 28.9 | 26.5 | 24.2 | 25.9 |
| Average | Absolute Dev | iation from M | Iedian | | | | | | | |
| Age | s 0 to 10 | 1.6 | 5.7 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 4.0 | 2.5 |
| Ages 1 | 0 and over: | 8.0 | 7.6 | 7.7 | 5.7 | 7.0 | 6.8 | 8.0 | 8.4 | 6.6 |
| Ages | 0 and over: | 7.1 | 7.9 | 8.4 | 6.5 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 7.2 | 7.6 | 7.0 |
| Mec Me | ln(0-10)- dn(10+) | 4.1 | -10.6 | 12.0 | -10.0 | -1.8 | -1.3 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 8.0 |

IMPLIED LIFE EXPECTANCY AT BIRTH

Source: Table 11.

Table 15: Comparison of Model Age Patterns of Mortality with those of Nepal, 1986/87, (Female).

| | UNITED NATIONS MODELS | | | | | COAL | E-DEMEN | NY MOI | DELS | |
|--------------|--------------------------|-------------------|---------|----------------|-------------|---------|---------|--------|-------|-------|
| Age Group | Empirical M(X,N) | Latin American | Chilean | South Asian | Far East | General | West | North | East | South |
| 0-1 | .10456 | 53.9 | 60.0 | 58.9 | 47.9 | 52.6 | 54.2 | 52.0 | 58.5 | 59.1 |
| 1-5 | .03585 | 43.2 | 33.5 | 45.4 | 32.4 | 39.2 | 37.6 | 41.1 | 36.5 | 45.0 |
| 5-10 | .00949 | 39.1 | 29.0 | 37.6 | 31.4 | 37.4 | 32.1 | 45.6 | 32.2 | 36.4 |
| 10-15 | .00364 | 44.5 | 41.6 | 39.4 | 43.2 | 45.3 | 48.5 | 52.5 | 42.0 | 44.1 |
| 15-20 | .00375 | 49.8 | 50.0 | 45.6 | 53.8 | 51.9 | 54.1 | 54.2 | 48.7 | 50.2 |
| 20-25 | .00445 | 52.6 | 52.9 | 46.0 | 56.0 | 54.1 | 55.7 | 54.5 | 51.0 | 51.6 |
| 25-30 | .00304 | 60.8 | 60.5 | 53.4 | 63.0 | 61.3 | 63.4 | 64.3 | 60.3 | 59.5 |
| 30-35 | .00539 | 55.3 | 55.4 | 46.6 | 58.3 | 56.0 | 57.0 | 56.4 | 52.7 | 51.1 |
| 35-40 | .00294 | 67.1 | 66.5 | 59.9 | 68.2 | 66.7 | 67.9 | 68.5 | 65.4 | 63.0 |
| 40-45 | .01069 | 46.8 | 48.8 | 37.0 | 53.8 | 48.5 | 47.2 | 46.1 | 41.1 | 38.4 |
| 45-50 | .00154 | GT80.0 | GT80.0 | 77.3 | GT80.0 | GT80.0 | 79.3 | GT80.0 | 78.7 | 76.9 |
| 50-55 | .00487 | 73.0 | 73.7 | 70.8 | 76.2 | 74.0 | 74.5 | 74.8 | 73.0 | 69.1 |
| 55-60 | .01826 | 52.7 | 56.1 | 53.0 | 63.1 | 57.1 | 53.4 | 48.6 | 50.9 | 43.7 |
| 60-65 | .03961 | 35.6 | 41.3 | 40.5 | 51.8 | 42.7 | 40.0 | 35.9 | 38.2 | 34.6 |
| 65-70 | .09906 | LT20.0 | LT20.0 | LT20.0 | 22.2 | LT20.0 | LT20.0 | 20.3 | 21.7 | 20.3 |
| Average | e Absolute Dev | iation from | Median | | | | | | | |
| Ag | ges 0 to 10 | 4.9 | 10.3 | 7.1 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 7.4 | 3.6 | 8.8 | 7.6 |
| Ages | 10 and over: | 11.6 | 11.4 | 11.0 | 10.7 | 11.1 | 11.2 | 11.8 | 11.5 | 11.6 |
| Ages | s 0 and over: | 10.9 | 12.5 | 10.3 | 12.1 | 10.9 | 11.6 | 10.9 | 11.9 | 11.2 |
| M N | Tedn(0-10)- Medn(10+) | -9.4 | -20.6 | -1.0 | -24.8 | -15.9 | -17.3 | -8.7 | -14.4 | -5.6 |

IMPLIED LIFE EXPECTANCY AT BIRTH

Source: Table 11.

 Table 16:
 Trends in Infant Mortality Rate by sex, Nepal

| Source | Period | Infant N | Iortality | Rates |
|---|-------------|------------|-----------|---------|
| | of estimate | Both sexes | Males | Females |
| Vaidyanathan and Gaige, 1973 <u>1</u> / | 1954 | - | 260 | 250 |
| Worth and Shah, 1969 1/ | 1965-66 | 152 | - | - |
| Gubhaju, 1974 1/ | 1961-71 | - | 200 | 186 |
| Central Bureau of Statistics, 1974 1/ | 1971 | 172 | - | - |
| Demographic Sample Survey, 1976 1/ | 1974-75 | 133 | 141 | 123 |
| Demographic Sample Survey 1977 <u>1/</u> | 1976 | 134 | 128 | 138 |
| Demographic Sample Survey 1978 1/ | 1977-78 | 104 | 110 | 98 |
| Nepal Fertility Survey, 1977 1/ | 1976 | 152 | - | - |
| Gubhaju, 1984 <u>1/</u> | 1973-74 | 171 | - | - |
| Central Bureau of Statistics, 1985 1/ | 1978 | 144 | 147 | 142 |
| New Era, 1986 <u>1/</u> | 1981 | 117 | 136 | 111 |
| Fertility and Family Planning Survey, 1986 2/ | 1983-84 | 108 | 117 | 98 |
| Demographic Sample Survey, 1987 3/ | 1986-87 | 107 | - | - |
| Nepal Fertility Family Planning & Health | 1989 | 102 | - | - |
| Survey, 1991 <u>4</u> / | | | | |
| Nepal Fertility Family Planning & Health | | 98 | 105 | 91 |
| Survey, 1991 <u>5</u> / | | | | |
| Population census 1991 <u>5/</u> | 1991 | 97 | 94 | 101 |

Source:

1/CBS. 1987a, Table 13.2 p. 300

MOH, 1987, p. 85

 $\frac{2/}{3/}$ $\frac{3}{4/}$ CBS, 2044/45, p.43

MOH, 1993, Appendix F, (Indirect Method)

<u>5</u>/ MOH, 1993, pp. 136 & 139 (Direct Method)

6/ Table 9

Trends in infant mortality are shown in Table 16. These trends are based on estimates, direct and indirect, obtained from data from censuses and surveys which suffer from problems of differential completeness and quality differences. A high IMR of over 250 seems to have prevailed before the 1960s and during the 1960's the estimate varied between 200 and 150. During the 1970s there was wide variation in the estimates of IMR from as low as 104 for 1977-78 to as high as 172 for 1971. The very low values were direct estimates from data from Demographic Sample Surveys which may have suffered varying degrees of under-reporting. The Nepal Fertility Survey estimates an IMR of 152 for 1976. New Era's estimate of 117 for 1981 is based on Nepal Fertility and Mortality Survey. This is consistent with the 1983-84 estimate of 108 and the 1986-87 estimate of 107 shown in Table 16. The 1989 indirect estimate based on children ever born and children dead from the Nepal Fertility, Family planning and Health Survey (NFHS) conducted in 1991/1992 varied from 102 to 98 depending on the model life table used in the estimation. Estimates based on female age distributions, from the above survey and the 1991 census, are 102 and 97 respectively.

There is clear evidence that infant mortality of males had been and still is higher than that of females. It is hard to say at this stage whether and to what extent this is a result of sex differential, if any, in the completeness of reporting of births and infant deaths. But the consistency lends support to the belief that is probably real.

3.5 Differentials in Infant Mortality by Socio-economic and Demographic Variables

Infant mortality, as one of the most important aspects of mortality, deserves special consideration. The socio-economic and demographic differentials are of particular interest since these provide clues for the identification of priority groups in policy formulation and programme implementation. The Nepal Fertility Survey (NFS) of 1976 collected information for the first time on a nation-wide basis, which enables study of socio-economic differentials in infant mortality. This survey estimated IMR for the period 1962-71. Similar information was again collected by the Nepal Fertility and Family Planning Survey (NFFS) of 1986, providing direct estimate on IMR during 1982-85. Indirect estimates of IMR which refer to the year 1983 obtained by Trussel technique⁵ are also available by a few background variables. The Nepal Fertility, Family Planning and Health Survey (NFHS) of 1991/92 provides IMR by socio-economic variables for a ten year period preceding the survey and for a five year period for data on medical maternity care. These results are presented in Table 17.

Infant mortality rate is higher when mother has no education than when she has some education. This finding comes out uniformly in all the three surveys. Father's education seems to help in lowering IMR but this finding is not as consistent as with mother's education. The highest education in the household also seems to be related inversely with infant mortality, the relationship however is stronger when education is dichotomized as no-education and some-education. Male babies seem to suffer higher rate of infant death than female babies. IMR is also related to birth order. The first parity and late parities are known to be associated with higher infant mortality. The data shows that the IMR related to parities 2 and 3 is lower than that related to 1st parity and parities 4+. If this is true, one should expect a higher IMR in the case of younger mothers. Indeed the IMR is the highest at maternal ages below 20. Maternity during the ages of 20-29 seems to enjoy the lowest infant mortality; as age advances the IMR again rises. It is a known fact that babies born to teen-age women or older women (age 35+) have a higher risk in terms of health/survival compared to those whose mothers are in age group 20-35. The Nepal data subscribes to this adequately. Also babies

⁵ For more details see: MOH, 1987, pp.79-85.

| Variable | NFS | NFI | FS 1986 ² ' | NFFS 1991 3' |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| | 1976 ¹ ' | (1982-85) | (1982-84) | _ |
| | (1962-71) | , | Indirect | |
| | () | | Estimate | |
| Education of Mother | | | | |
| No education | 166 | 95 | 113 | 103 |
| Some education | 154 | 87 | 103 | 56 |
| Education of Father | | | | |
| No education | 170 | 89 | - | - |
| Some education | 152 | 105 | - | - |
| Highest education in households | | | | |
| No education | - | 101 | - | - |
| Primary (1-5) | - | 88 | _ | _ |
| above $(6+)$ | - | 94 | | |
| Sex of child | | | | |
| Male | 171 | 96 | 117 | 105 |
| Female | 161 | 94 | 98 | 91 |
| Birth order | - • - | | | <i>,</i> - |
| 1 st | 185 | _ | _ | 116 |
| 2-3 rd | 157 | _ | - | 83 |
| 4-6 th | - 163 | _ | _ | 92 |
| 7+ | -{ `` | _ | - | 130 |
| Maternal Age | | | | |
| less than 20 | 216 | 105 | - | 137 |
| 20-29 | 162 | 86 | - | 88 |
| 30-39 | $\int 142$ | J 102 | - | 94 |
| 40-49 | - | 7 | | 99 |
| Previous birth interval | | | | |
| NFS 1976 NFHS 1991 | | | | |
| < 18 Months < 2 Years | 236 | | | 155 |
| 18-35 Months 2-3 Vears | 180 | | | 78 |
| $36 \pm Months$ 4 Vear \pm | 95 | | | 30 |
| Antenatal/delivery care |)5 | | | 57 |
| Not received | _ | _ | _ | 84 |
| Received | _ | _ | _ | 85 |
| Availability of Latrine | - | - | - | 05 |
| Flush Pan | _ | Г | ſ | 28 |
| Pit Other | _ | ٦_ ₈₉ | <i>٦</i> ₅₂ | 69 |
| No facility | - | 96 | 116 | 84 |

Table 17: Socio-economic and Demographic Differentials in Infant Mortality, Nepal, 1962-1991

Source:

CBS, 1987a, Table 13.7 p. 309 MOH, 1987, PP. 83 & 85

 $\frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{3}{2}}$

MOH, 1993, PP. 136-139.

born following a short birth interval are prone to a high risk of infant death. When a baby is born too soon following the earlier birth, he/she faces sibling competition for care and nutrition, and further the mother herself is probably not physiologically ready for this birth at short duration. Reasons such as these are associated with the inverse relation between IMR and duration since last birth. The inverse relation is clearly shown in the case of Nepal. Availability of latrine in the house is deemed to indicate higher socio-economic status compared to its non-availability. Data in Table 17 shows higher IMR for populations having no latrine facility compared to those who had. As one would expect, babies whose mothers received antenatal/delivery care suffered lower infant mortality than those babies whose mothers did not receive such care.

3.6 Neonatal and Post-neonatal Mortality

Neonatal and Post-neonatal mortality are two component parts of infant mortality. Neonatal mortality rate is the number of deaths within four weeks of age per thousand live births, whereas the Post-neonatal mortality rate is the number of deaths during 1-12 months of age per 1000 live births. The causes of death are more of endogenous type in neonatal mortality and of exogenous type in the case of post-neonatal mortality. It is this type of bifurcation, that is of interest in the prevention of deaths during infancy. Exogenous factors being more amenable to control with public health measures, compared to endogamous factors; it should be easier to reduce post-neonatal than neonatal mortality. Available evidence, though meagre, demonstrates that this has been the case in Nepal. The Nepal Fertility Survey of 1976 (as cited in CBS, 1987a, p.302) had for the first time obtained information on neonatal and postneonatal deaths and these two rates were 75.4 and 66.9 respectively. The Nepal Fertility, Family Planning and Health Survey of 1991/1992 (MOH, 1993, p. 136) provided an estimate of 56.8 for neonatal and 41.2 for post-neonatal mortality. According to these estimates, the decline during about one and a half decades, was 25 per cent in the case of neonatal and about 38 per cent in the case of post-neonatal mortality. This greater decline in post-neonatal than neo-natal mortality, which conforms to one's expectation, is a reflection of the great strides made by Nepal in the field of public health.

3.7 Maternal Mortality

Maternal mortality refers to deaths to mothers due to complications in pregnancy and child birth. While complication of pregnancy and the related death can occur any time during the entire period of gestation, child birth related complications can lead to death long after child birth. Thus, the timereference for maternal death and the problem of cause of death classification, render the estimation of maternal mortality difficult especially in developing countries. Maternal mortality rate (MMR) is the ratio of the number of maternal deaths to the number of live births during a period of time, usually an year. The time-reference considerations for maternal deaths, make the hospital statistics inappropriate for the estimation of maternal mortality rate. The hospital based maternal mortality rate (Malla, 1986 as cited in MOH, 1993, pp.142) during 1979 to 1985 was 189 per 100,000 live births, a level too low to be acceptable for Nepal. The Fertility, Mortality and Morbidity Survey conducted in 1977-78 in three rural areas of Kathmandu, Rupandehi and Kavre provided MMR estimate of 850 per 100,000 live births (by FP/MCH as cited in CBS, 1987, p. 250). For the first time an attempt was made in the Nepal fertility, Family Planning and Health Survey (NFHS) 1991/92 to estimate maternal mortality rate at the national level. The sisterhood method of estimation was used in the computation of MMR. In this method, ever-married women aged 15-49 years were the respondents who provided information on the death of their sisters who died during pregnancy, child birth or within two months after a birth or termination of pregnancy. The maternal mortality rate was estimated to be around 515 per 100,000 live births during the 10-14 years prior to the survey. An estimated MMR of 510 per 100,000 live births in Bangladesh around the same time, about 545 in urban and 874 in rural Andhra Pradesh state of India are some of the comparative levels available for this region (Bhatia, J.C., 1986 as cited in MOH, 1993, p.144).

3.8 Life Expectancy at Birth

Life expectancy at birth is a summary index of mortality at different ages. This measure indicates the number of years on the average, a new born baby is expected to survive. The computation is accomplished through the construction of a life table, using the age specific death rates. Since male and female mortality are usually different in level and age pattern, a separate life table is constructed and life expectancy (e°_{o}) at birth and at other ages established for each sex.

When age specific death rates are not available, which is often the case in developing countries, a model life table is identified which best describes the country's situation. Such identification is done using age distributions of population at one or two points of time. Identification may also be done on the basis of infant and child mortality and/or adult mortality estimated by indirect methods.

Various estimates exist for e_0° of males and females for Nepal as shown in Table 18. Before 1960, the life expectancy at birth was less than 40 years and during the 1960s it was slightly more than

40 years. A further increase took place and the estimates point to a level of about 45 years for the mid 1970s.

Based on the age sex distribution of the 1981 census, e°_{o} was estimated as 50.9 years for males and 48.1 years for females. Analysis based an age sex distribution of 1991 census and the analysis based on the data on children ever born and children surviving from the 1991/1992 Nepal Fertility Family Planning and Health Survey led to e°_{o} estimates of 55.0 and 53.5 years for males and females respectively for 1991. The corresponding life tables for males and females derived from Coale-Demeny West Model are presented in Appendix Table B.

There is continuous evidence, with a few exceptions, that male e_0° has been greater than female e_0° . This is consistently shown by all estimates since 1971. Higher male than female e_0° has been a feature of the countries in the Indian sub-continent, the reasons for which are not fully known yet.

| Source | Reference | Expectation of life at birth | | |
|---|-----------|------------------------------|---------|--|
| | period | Males | Females | |
| Vaidyanathan and Gaige, 1973 <u>1</u> / | 1954 | 27.1 | 28.5 | |
| Central Bureau of Statistics, 1977 <u>1</u> / | 1953-61 | 35.2 | 37.4 | |
| Gubhaju, 1974 <u>1</u> / | 1961-71 | 42.9 | 38.9 | |
| Central Bureau of Statistics, 1977 1/ | 1961-71 | 37.0 | 39.9 | |
| Gubhaju, 1982 <u>1/</u> | 1971 | 42.1 | 40.0 | |
| Demographic Sample Survey, 1976 1/ | 1974-75 | 46.0 | 42.5 | |
| Demographic Sample Survey, 1977 <u>1/</u> | 1976 | 43.4 | 41.1 | |
| Central Bureau of Statistics, 1986 1/ | 1971-81 | 46.3 | 44.3 | |
| Central Bureau of Statistics, 1986 1/ | 1981 | 50.9 | 48.1 | |
| Central Bureau of Statistics, 1987 2/ | 1983 | 51.8 | 50.3 | |
| Central Bureau of Statistics, 1993 $\overline{3}$ / | 1991 | 55.0 | 53.5 | |
| 0 | | | | |

Table 18:Trends in Expectation of Life at Birth by Sex, Nepal. 1954-91

Source:

 1/
 CBS. 1987a, Table 13.10 p. 313

 2/
 CBS, 1987b, p.73

 3/
 Table 9

3.9 Urban Rural Differentials

Historically in Europe and North America, mortality in urban areas was higher than in rural areas. High population density, over crowding and the consequent inadequate water supply and waste disposal, leading to infections deceases and other health hazards were some of the causes of higher urban than rural mortality. With the import of public health and medical technology which became available to a greater extent in urban than in rural areas in developing countries, it was possible to achieve a lower urban than rural mortality in these countries.

The Demographic Sample Surveys of 1974/75, 1976, 1977/78 and 1986/87, all show a higher mortality in rural than in urban areas (Table 19). The rural-urban differential however has been decreasing over the years. Rural death rates which were twice as large as the urban death rates in the mid 1970s are only one and a half times larger by the mid 1980s.

Table 19: Rural Urban Differentials in Crude Death Rate (CDR), Evidence From Demographic Sample Surveys of 1974-75, 1976, 1977-78 and 1986-87, Nepal

| Year | CDR | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Urban | Rural | Total | | | | | |
| 1974-75 ¹ / | 9.0 | 19.8 | 19.5 | | | | | |
| 1976 ^{1/} | 8.9 | 22.6 | 22.2 | | | | | |
| 1977-78 ¹ / | 12.0 | 18.6 | 17.1 | | | | | |
| 1986-87 ^{<i>⊻</i>} | 11.8 | 16.8 | 16.1 | | | | | |

Source:-

<u>1</u>/ CBS. 1987a, Table 13.6 p. 309

<u>2/</u> CBS, 2044/45, p.43

The rural-urban differentials in infant mortality exhibited by various surveys are presented in Table 20. Urban IMR has all along been lower than that in rural areas. The ratio of urban to rural IMR was around 40 per cent in the mid 1970s which became over 60 per cent and further increased to more than 70 per cent by latter part of 1980s. Thus the narrowing of rural-urban gap observed in CDR earlier also seems to be true in the case of IMR.

3.10 Regional Variations

Variations in mortality by geographic areas are expected on account of climatic and other ecological differences, among others. The infant mortality rates and the corresponding life expectancy at birth are presented for Ecological Zones and Development Regions in Table 21. Among the Ecological Zones, the highest IMR was always obtained for the Mountain area. This is expected in view of the inadequate availability of health services and the environmental conditions which are different from the other two zones. The Hill areas seem to have the lowest IMR although this finding is not supported by the 1981 census data which presumably is due to data quality differences among the Ecological zones. The Nepal Fertility Survey of 1976 (Ghubhaju, 1985 as cited in CBS, 1987, pp.309) shows IMR estimates of 190, 145 and 184 for Mountain, Hill and Terai, presenting the lowest IMR in Hill areas; these estimates refer to 1962-71. The Hill areas also exhibited the greatest and the most consistent decline, among the three zones, in infant mortality.

| Source | Period of | IMR | | | |
|--|------------------|-------|-------|----------|--|
| | estimation | Urban | Rural | (U/R)100 | |
| Nepal Fertility Survey, 1976 ¹ / | 1962-71 | 127.0 | 167.0 | 76% | |
| Demographic Sample Survey, 1976 ^{1/} | 1974-75 | 57.1 | 134.8 | 42% | |
| Demographic Sample Survey, 1977 ^{$1/$} | 1976 | 52.8 | 136.1 | 39% | |
| Demographic Sample Survey, 1978 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1977-78 | 67.2 | 105.1 | 64% | |
| Nepal Fertility Family Planning Survey 1986 | 1982-85 | 63.0 | 97.0 | 65% | |
| <u>2/</u> | Direct Est. | | | | |
| | 1982-83 | 56.0 | 111.0 | 51% | |
| | Indirect Est. | | | | |
| Demographic Sample Survey 1086/87 3/ | 1983 | 78.0 | 111.0 | 70% | |
| Demographic Sample Survey 1980/87 | Indirect Est. | | | | |
| | 1986 | 82.1 | 110.6 | 74% | |
| Demographic Sample Survey 1986/87 4/ | Prospective | | | | |
| | data direct Est. | | | | |
| Nepal Fertility Family Planning and Health | 1981-91 | 60.4 | 100.2 | 60% | |
| Survey NFHS, 1991 ^{5/} | Direct Est. | | | | |
| Nepal Fertility Family Planning NFHS, 1991 | 1989 | 69.0 | 105.0 | 66% | |
| 6/ | (Indirect Est.) | | | | |

Table 20: Infant Mortality by Urban-Rural residence, Nepal 1962-1991

Source:-

- CBS, 1987a, 'Fable 13.7 and 13.8. pp. 309 and 311
- M011, 1987, 'Fable 8.2 and 8.3. pp. 83-85 CBS, 19876, "Table D1-D2
- $\frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{3}{4}}$ $\frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{5}{6}}$ CBS, 2044/45, p. 43
- M011, 1993, p. 136
- MOH, 1993, Original Data tape (Appendix Tables A4, A5).

Source/ **Ecological Zones Development Regions** Parameter Mountain Hills Terai Eastern Central Western Mid-Far Western Western 1981 Census 1/ IMR 187 164 124 130 138 148 177 169 e^oo 39.3 42.7 49.2 48.3 46.9 45.2 40.8 42.0 Ref. Year 1978 1978 1978 1978 1978 1978 1978 1978 1986/87 (DSS) 2/ IMR 111 103 120 e°o 51.8 53.4 50.2 Ref. Year 1984 1984 1983 _ _ 1986 NFFS 3/ IMR 163 104 100 e°o 43.0 53.2 53.9 Ref. Year 1984 1983 1983 _ _ --1991/92 NFHS4/ IMR 155 83 112 99 94 86 124 i36 e^oo 44.2 57.3 51.8 54.2 55.2 56.7 49.6 47.4 1989 1989 Ref. Year 1989 1989 1989 1989 1989 1989

Table 21: Estimates of Infant Mortality and Corresponding Life Expectancy at Birth for Ecological and Development Regions of Nepal Based on Proportion Dead Among Children Ever Born to Ever Married Women (Coale-Demeny West Model)

Source:

<u>1/</u> CBS, 1987a, Table 11.13, p. 272

<u>2/</u> CBS, 1987b, Table DI. p. 73

<u>3</u>/ MOH, 1987, Appendix 7B-7D

<u>4/</u> MOH, 1993, NFHS, 1991/92 Original data tape. (Appendix Tables A6-A13).

Among the development regions, the two regions, namely, the Mid-Western and the Far-Western stand out distinctly as the ones having higher mortality than others. The other three regions, namely, the Eastern, Central and Western do not vary greatly with respect to IMR although their ranking is different in the 1991 census and the 1991/92 NFHS. The life expectancy estimated for 1989 on the basis of 1991/92 NFHS is 54.2, 55.2 and 56.7 in the Eastern, Central and Western development regions whereas it is less than 50 years in the Mid-Western and Far-Western Development Regions which is reflective of their lower level of development.

4. Conclusion

In the absence of vital statistics system, mortality data has to continue to be obtained from census and surveys. Sample surveys have proved to be better sources than censuses, in this respect. Even in surveys, collection of mortality data had received less attention compared to fertility data probably because the latter is closely linked with family planning which is more often investigated. Most of the time, early childhood mortality estimated from two fertility related items of information, namely, the number of children ever born and the number dead, had been used to estimate the life expectancy at birth. Hardly any information is available on adult mortality even for indirect estimation.

The present analysis makes use of the limited information to throw light on the levels and trends in mortality, their differentials by socio-economic and other background variables, ecological and regional variations, and age-sex patterns of mortality in Nepal. The findings do certainly have policy relevance in the identification of priority areas and specification of target groups.

A case is hereby made for greater attention for mortality data collection and special effort for its quality improvement than has been the case so far.

| AGE GROUP OF RESPONDENT | PROPORTION FEMALES NOT WIDOWED | PROBABILITY OF AN ADULT MALE SURVIVING FROM AGE 20 TO AGE X | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|----------------|--|--|
| | | AGE X | HILL –TRUSSELL | | |
| | | | EQUATION | | |
| 20-25 | .9950 | 25 | .9943 | | |
| 25-30 | .9899 | 30 | .9911 | | |
| 30-35 | .9805 | 35 | .9832 | | |
| 35-40 | .9602 | 40 | .9625 | | |
| 40-45 | .9262 | 45 | .9280 | | |
| 45-50 | .8765 | 50 | .8789 | | |
| 50-55 | .7948 | 55 | .8003 | | |
| 55-60 | .7317 | 60 | .7433 | | |

 Table Al: Estimates of Adult Male Mortality by widowhood Method, 1991 Census, Nepal

CORRESPONDING MALE LIFE EXPECTANCIES

| AGE GROUP | REFERENCE | UNITED NATIONS MODELS | | | | | S COALE -DEMENY MODELS | | | |
|------------------|------------|-----------------------|---------|-----------|----------|---------|------------------------|---------|-----------|---------|
| OF RESPONDENT | DATE | LATIN AM. | CHILEAN | SO. ASIAN | FAR EAST | GENERAL | WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| MALE LIFE E | XPECTANCY | AT AGE T | WENTY | | | | | | | |
| 20-25 | AUG 1989 | 54.9 | 52.8 | 51.2 | 50.3 | 52.9 | 53.3 | 57.3 | 52.9 | 53.3 |
| 25-30 | MAY 1987 | 56.7 | 55.0 | 53.2 | 52.2 | 54.7 | 54.3 | 58.6 | 54.0 | 54.5 |
| 30-35 | FEB 1985 | 55.9 | 54.5 | 52.6 | 51.5 | 53.9 | 53.5 | 57.7 | 53.2 | 54.1 |
| 35-40 | JAN 1983 | 53.6 | 52.4 | 50.5 | 49.4 | 51.7 | 51.5 | 55.1 | 51.1 | 52.1 |
| 40-45 | FEB 1981 | 51.6 | 50.6 | 48.9 | 47.9 | 49.9 | 49.9 | 52.6 | 49.4 | 50.3 |
| 45-50 | JUL 1979 | 50.0 | 49.3 | 47.9 | 47.0 | 48.7 | 48.6 | 50.5 | 48.2 | 48.9 |
| 50-55 | JAN 1978 | 48.1 | 47.7 | 46.9 | 46.2 | 47.2 | 47.0 | 48.2 | 46.8 | 47.0 |
| 55-60 | FEB 1977 1 | LT 20.0 | LT 20.0 | LT 20.0 | LT 20.0 | LT 20.0 | LT 20.0 | LT 20.0 | LT 20.0 | LT 20.0 |
| MALE LIFE E | XPECTANC | Y AT BIRTI | H | | | | | | | |
| 20-25 | AUG 1989 | 70.5 | 68.5 | 62.4 | 67.6 | 68.9 | 70.7 | 75.6 | 70.2 | 67.1 |
| 25-30 | MAY 1987 | 73.5 | 71.9 | 66.8 | 70.2 | 71.7 | 72.3 | 77.3 | 72.1 | 69.5 |
| 30-35 | FEB 1985 | 72.2 | 71.1 | 65.5 | 69.3 | 70.6 | 71.1 | 76.2 | 70.7 | 68.7 |
| 35-40 | JAN 1983 | 68.4 | 67.8 | 60.9 | 66.4 | 67.1 | 67.7 | 72.3 | 66.8 | 65.0 |
| 40-45 | FEB 1981 | 64.8 | 65.0 | 57.2 | 64.3 | 64.1 | 64.7 | 68.0 | 63.0 | 61.4 |
| 45-50 | JUL 1979 | 61.9 | 62.9 | 54.8 | 62.9 | 61.9 | 62.2 | 64.0 | 60.2 | 58.3 |
| 50-55 | JAN 1978 | 58.2 | 60.2 | 52.2 | 61.7 | 59.2 | 59.1 | 59.4 | 56.6 | 54.3 |
| 55-60 | FEB 1977 1 | LT 20.0 | LT 20.0 | LT 20.0 | LT 20.0 | LT 20.0 | LT 20.0 | L7 20.0 |) LT 20.0 | LT 20.0 |

| Table A2: Indirect Estimation of Ear | ly Age Mort | tality for Nepal: | 1991 Census |
|---|-------------|-------------------|-------------|
|---|-------------|-------------------|-------------|

| ENUMER | ATION OF JUN 1991 | |
|--------|-------------------|--|
| | AVERAGE NO | |

PROBABLITY OF DYING BEFORE AGE X

| | | | | | UNITED NATIONS MODELS | | | | | COALE-DEMENY MODELS | | | |
|--------|-------|--------------|----------------|-----|------------------------------|---------|-------------|-------------|---------|----------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| | AVEF | RAGE NO. | | | (PALLONI-HELIGMAN EQUATIONS) | | | | | (TRUSSELL EQUATIONS) | | | |
| AGE OF | OF C |] HILDREN | PROPORT ION | AGE | (PALLONI-HELIGMAN EQUATIONS) | | | | | (TRUSSELL EQUATIONS) | | | NS) |
| WOMAN | BORN | SURVIVING | DEAD | Х | LAT AM | CHILEAN | SO ASIAN | FAR EAST | GENERAL | WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| 15-20 | .0170 | 0.158 | .071 | 1 | 0.075 | 0.082 | 0.075 | 0.075 | 0.075 | 0.079 | 0.078 | 0.079 | 0.075 |
| 20-25 | 1.155 | 1.068 | .075 | 2 | 0.079 | 0.081 | 0.079 | 0.078 | 0.079 | 0.079 | 0.076 | 0.079 | 0.079 |
| 25-30 | 2.346 | 2.141 | 0.87 | 3 | 0.088 | 0.90 | 0.089 | 0.088 | 0.088 | 0.086 | 0.082 | 0.087 | 0.088 |
| 30-35 | 3.275 | 2.936 | .104 | 5 | 0.107 | 0.106 | 0.108 | 0.105 | 0.106 | 0.103 | 0.100 | 0.103 | 0.104 |
| 35-40 | 3.959 | 3.468 | .124 | 10 | 0.131 | 0.128 | 0.131 | 0.129 | 0.130 | 0.126 | 0.128 | 0.126 | 0.127 |
| 40-45 | 4.351 | 3.712 | .147 | 15 | 0.150 | 0.150 | 0.153 | 0.150 | 0.150 | 0.147 | 0.149 | 0.147 | 0.148 |
| 45-50 | 4.433 | 3.689 | .168 | 20 | 0.172 | 0.171 | 0.171 | 0.173 | 0.173 | 0.167 | 0.168 | 0.167 | 0.167 |

MEAN AGE AT CHILDBEARING = 28.52

| AGE OF REFERENCE LA | | LAT | UNITED I | NATIONS MOD HELIGMAN E | DELS (P QUATIONS) | DEFEDENCE | COALE-DEMENY MODELS (TRUSSELL EQUATIONS) | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------|------|----------|---------------------------|----------------------|-----------|---|-------------|--------|-------|-------|
| WOMAN | DATE | AM | CHILEAN | SO. ASIAN | FAR EAST | GENERAL | DATE | WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| INFANT M | IORTALITY R | ATE | | | | | | | | | |
| 15-20 | MAY 1990 | .075 | 0.082 | 0.075 | .075 | 0.075 | JUL 1990 | 0.079 | 0.078 | 0.079 | 0.075 |
| 20-25 | MAR 1989 | .065 | 0.074 | 0.066 | .067 | 0.067 | MAR 1989 | 0.067 | 0.061 | 0.071 | 0.068 |
| 25-30 | JUN 1987 | .067 | 0.079 | 0.068 | .069 | 0.069 | FEB 1987 | 0.068 | 0.060 | 0.074 | 0.071 |
| 30-35 | FEB 1985 | .073 | 0.088 | 0.075 | .075 | 0.075 | SEP 1984 | 0.074 | 0.065 | 0.082 | 0.078 |
| 35-40 | JUN 1982 | .080 | 0.100 | 0.084 | .082 | 0.083 | DEC 1981 | 0.082 | 0.070 | 0.092 | 0.087 |
| 40-45 | JUN 1979 | 086 | 0.111 | 0.093 | .088 | 0.089 | FEB 1979 | 0.089 | 0.075 | 0.102 | 0.094 |
| 45-50 | DEC 1975 | .092 | 0.118 | 0.099 | .090 | 0.094 | MAR 1976 | 0.092 | 0. 078 | 0.107 | 0.099 |
| PROBABII | LITY OF DYIN | G BE | TWEEN AG | GES 1 AND | 5 | | | | | | |
| 15-20 | MAY 1990 | .039 | 0.017 | 0.35 | .033 | 0.034 | JUL 1990 | 0.035 | 0.051 | 0.023 | 0.027 |
| 20-25 | MAR 1989 | .031 | 0.015 | 0.028 | .027 | 0.027 | MAR 1989 | 0.027 | 0.036 | 0.019 | 0.021 |
| 25-30 | JUN 1987 | .032 | 0.016 | 0.030 | .028 | 0.029 | FEB 1987 | 0.028 | 0.035 | 0.020 | 0.023 |
| 30-35 | FEB 1985 | .037 | 0.020 | 0.035 | .032 | 0.033 | SEP 1984 | 0.031 | 0.038 | 0.023 | 0.029 |
| 35-40 | JUN 1982 | .044 | 0.025 | 0.043 | .038 | 0.040 | DEC 1981 | 0.036 | 0.043 | 0.028 | 0.037 |
| 40-45 | JUN 1979 | .049 | 0.030 | 0.051 | .043 | 0.045 | FEB 1979 | 0.041 | 0.048 | 0.033 | 0.045 |
| 45-50 | DEC 1975 | .055 | 0.033 | 0.057 | .045 | 0.049 | MAR 1976 | 6 0.044 | 0.050 | 0.036 | 0.050 |
| LIFE EXPI | ECTANCY AT | BIRT | Н | | | | | | | | |
| 15-20 | MAY 1990 | 61.0 | 62.2 | 63.8 | 54.1 | 59.4 | JUL 1990 |) 58.1 | 56.9 | 61.3 | 64.5 |
| 20-25 | MAR 1989 | 63.8 | 64.0 | 66.0 | 56.5 | 61.7 | MAR 1989 | 60.7 | 61.0 | 62.8 | 66.5 |
| 25-30 | JUN 1987 | 63.4 | . 62.9 | 65.4 | 55.9 | 61 2 | FEB 1987 | 60.5 | 61.3 | 62.3 | 65.7 |
| 30-35 | FEB 1985 | 61.8 | 60.9 | 63.8 | 54.3 | 59.5 | SEP 1984 | 59.2 | 60.2 | 60.9 | 64.0 |
| 35-40 | JUN 1982 | 59.7 | 58.4 | 61.7 | 52.2 | 57.4 | DEC 1981 | 57.7 | 58.8 | 59.2 | 61.6 |
| 40-45 | JUN 1979 | 58.2 | 56.2 | 59.7 | 50.6 | 55.8 | FEB 1979 | 56.2 | 57.5 | 57.6 | 59.7 |
| 45-50 | DEC 1975 | 56.6 | 54.8 | 58.3 | 50.1 | 54.5 | MAR 1976 | 5 55.5 | 56.9 | 56.6 | 58.5 |

| | AVER | RAGE NO. | PROPOR- | | UNITED NATIONS MODELS | | | | | | COALE-DEMENY MODELS | | | |
|--------|-------|-----------|---------|-----|-----------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------|----------------------|------|-------|--|
| AGE OF | OF CI | HILDREN | TION | AGE | (PA | LLONI-HE | LIGMAN | EQUATIO | ONS) | (Tl | (TRUSSELL EQUATIONS) | | | |
| WOMAN | BORN | SURVIVING | DEAD | x | LAT AM | CHILEAN | SO ASIAN | FAR EAST | GENER AL | WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH | |
| 15-20 | .150 | .132 | .120 | 1 | .134 | .146 | .134 | 4 .13 | 2 .133 | .14 | 5 .143 | .143 | .140 | |
| 20-25 | 1.332 | 1.178 | .116 | 2 | .123 | .125 | .124 | 4 .12 | 1.122 | .123 | .119 | .123 | .124 | |
| 25-30 | 2.749 | 2.371 | .138 | 3 | .139 | .141 | .141 | .13 | 8.139 | .130 | .130 | .137 | .138 | |
| 30-35 | 3.840 | 3.229 | .159 | 5 | .164 | .163 | .165 | 5.16 | 1.162 | .158 | .154 | .158 | .160 | |
| 35-40 | 4.731 | 3.818 | .193 | 10 | .205 | .199 | .204 | 4 .20 | 1 .203 | .194 | 4.197 | .195 | .197 | |
| 40-45 | 5.309 | 4.218 | .206 | 15 | .210 | .210 | .214 | 4 .21 | .210 | .204 | 4 .207 | .205 | .205 | |
| 45-50 | 5.653 | 4.322 | .235 | 20 | .242 | .240 | .241 | .24 | 3.242 | .232 | .234 | .233 | .233 | |

MEAN AGE AT CHILDBEARING = 28.59

| AGEOE | REFERENCE | LAT | UNITED N | ATIONS MOI HELIGMAN I | DELS EQUATIONS | (PALLONI- | DEFEDENCE | C (| OALE-DEME TRUSSELL E | NY MODEL | ELS S) | | | |
|---------|--------------|----------|----------|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|--------|-------------------------|----------|-----------|--|--|--|
| WOMAN | DATE | AM | CHILEAN | SO. ASIAN F | AR EAST | GENERAL | DATE | WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH | | | |
| INFANT | MORTALITY | RATE | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 15-20 | OCT 1990 | 0.134 | .146 | 0.134 | 0.132 | 0.133 | FEB 1991 | 0.145 | 0.143 | 0.143 | 0.140 | | | |
| 20-25 | OCT 1989 | 0.097 | .112 | 0.098 | 0.099 | 0.099 | OCT 1989 | 0.102 | 0.094 | 0.108 | 0.100 | | | |
| 25-30 | JAN 1988 | 0.098 | .119 | 0.101 | 0.103 | 0.102 | SEP 1987 | 0.103 | 0.091 | 0.112 | 0.102 | | | |
| 30-35 | JUL 1985 | 0.104 | .129 | 0.108 | 0.108 | 0.107 | FEB 1985 | 0.108 | 0.094 | 0.120 | 0.107 | | | |
| 35-40 | AUG 1982 | 0.115 | .147 | 0.121 | 0.118 | 0.119 | MAR 1982 | 0.121 | 0.103 | 0.136 | 0.119 | | | |
| 40-45 | JUN 1979 | 0.113 | .148 | 0.122 | 0.116 | 0.117 | MAR 1979 | 0.12 | 0.101 | 0.137 | 0.119 | | | |
| 45-50 | DEC 1975 | 0.121 | .157 | 0.131 | 0.119 | 0.127 | APR 1976 | 0.126 | 0.105 | 0.146 | 0.125 | | | |
| PROBAE | BILITY OF DY | ING BET | WEEN A | GES 1 AN | D 5 | | | | | | | | | |
| 15-20 | OCT 1990 | 0.106 | .049 | 0.095 | 0.086 | 0.091 | FEB 1991 | 0.083 | 0.115 | 0.057 | 0.104 | | | |
| 20-25 | OCT 1989 | 0.060 | .030 | 0.056 | 0.530 | 0.054 | OCT 1989 | 0.051 | 0.066 | 0.037 | 0.051 | | | |
| 25-30 | JAN 1988 | 0.062 | .034 | 0.058 | 0.056 | 0.057 | SEP 1987 | 0.051 | 0.063 | 0.039 | 0.053 | | | |
| 30-35 | JUL 1985 | 0.067 | .039 | 0.065 | 0.060 | 0.062 | FEB 1985 | 0.055 | 0.066 | 0.043 | 0.059 | | | |
| 35-40 | AUG 1982 | 0.080 | .049 | 0.079 | 0.071 | 0.074 | MAR 1982 | 0.065 | 0.075 | 0.053 | 0.074 | | | |
| 40-45 | JUN 1979 | 0.078 | .049 | 0.080 | 0.068 | 0.072 | MAR 1979 | 0.064 | 0.073 | 0.053 | 0.074 | | | |
| 45-50 | DEC 1975 | 0.087 | .055 | 0.090 | 0.071 | 0.079 | APR 1976 | 0.068 | 0.077 | 0.059 | 0.082 | | | |
| LIFE EX | PECTANCY A | AT BIRTE | I | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 15-20 | OCT 1990 | 45.9 | 49.1 | 50.5 | 39.7 | 45.0 | FEB 1991 | 45.9 | 43.0 | 50.9 | 48.2 | | | |
| 20-25 | OCT 1989 | 55.3 | 55.8 | 58.4 | 47.7 | 53.2 | OCT 1989 | 53.6 | 53.2 | 56.5 | 58.2 | | | |
| 25-30 | JAN 1988 | 54.9 | 54.4 | 57.8 | 46.9 | 52.6 | SEP 1987 | 53.4 | 53.8 | 55.8 | 57.7 | | | |
| 30-35 | JUL 1985 | 53.6 | 52.5 | 56.4 | 45.7 | 51.3 | FEB 1985 | 52.4 | 53.1 | 54.6 | 56.4 | | | |
| 35-40 | AUG 1982 | 50.8 | 49.2 | 53.6 | 43.1 | 48.5 | MAR 1982 | 50.0 | 51.0 | 52.0 | 53.5 | | | |
| 40-45 | JUN 1979 | 51.3 | 48.9 | 53.2 | 43.6 | 48.9 | MAR 1979 | 50.2 | 51.5 | 51.9 | 53.4 | | | |
| 45-50 | DEC 1975 | 49.4 | . 47.3 | 51.4 | 42.9 | 47.4 | APR 1976 | 49.1 | 50.5 | 50.5 | 51.8 | | | |

Table A4: Indirect Estimation of Early Age Mortality for Urban areas, NFHS, 1991-92

| ENUMERATIO | N OF NOV 19 | 991 | | | PROBABLITY OF DYING BEFORE AGE X | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|-------------|---------|---------|-----|----------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|---------------------|-------|----------------------|------|------|--|
| | AVERAG | E NO. | PROPOR- | | | UNITE | | COA | COALE-DEMENY MODELS | | | | | |
| AGE OF | OF CHIL | DREN | TION | AGE | | (PALLONI- | HELIGMAN | EQUATION | S) | (TR | (TRUSSELL EQUATIONS) | | | |
| | | | | | LAT | | | | | | | | | |
| WOMAN | BORN SU | RVIVING | DEAD | Х | AM | CHILEAN | WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH | | | | |
| 15-20 | .121 | 0.117 | .033 | 1 | .036 | .039 | .036 | .035 | .035 | .038 | .038 | .038 | .037 | |
| 20-25 | 1.017 | 0.940 | .076 | 2 | .081 | .083 | .082 | .080 | .081 | .082 | .079 | .081 | .082 | |
| 25-30 | 2.327 | 2.096 | .099 | 3 | .102 | .103 | .103 | .101 | .101 | .100 | .096 | .101 | .102 | |
| 30-35 | 3.305 | 2.943 | .110 | 5 | .114 | .113 | .115 | .112 | .113 | .111 | .109 | .111 | .112 | |
| 35-40 | 4.048 | 3.490 | .138 | 10 | .147 | .143 | .147 | .145 | .146 | .142 | .145 | .142 | .144 | |
| 40-45 | 4.450 | 3.921 | .119 | 15 | .122 | .122 | .124 | .123 | .123 | .121 | .123 | .121 | .121 | |
| 45-50 | 4.806 | 3.995 | 0169 | 20 | .174 | .173 | .173 | .176 | .175 | .170 | .172 | .170 | .170 | |

MEAN AGE AT CHILDBEARING = 29.10

| AGE OF | REFERENCE | LAT | UNITED NATIONS MODELS (PALLONI- LAT HELIGMAN EQUATIONS) REFER | | | | | | COALE-DEMENY MOD (TRUSSELL EQUATIO | | | | |
|---------|------------------|--------|--|------------|----------|---------|----------|-------|---------------------------------------|-------|-------|--|--|
| WOMAN | DATE | AM | CHILEAN | SO. ASIAN | FAR EAST | GENERAL | DATE | WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH | | |
| 15-20 | OCT 1990 | .036 | 0.039 | 0.036 | .035 | 0.035 | DEC 1990 | 0.038 | 0.038 | 0.038 | 0.037 | | |
| 20-25 | SEP 1989 | .067 | 0.076 | 0.068 | .068 | 0.068 | OCT 1989 | 0.069 | 0.064 | 0.073 | 0.070 | | |
| 25-30 | FEB 1988 | .075 | 0.090 | 0.077 | .078 | 0.078 | NOV 1987 | 0.078 | 0.069 | 0.085 | 0.080 | | |
| 30-35 | DEC 1985 | .077 | 0.093 | 0.079 | .079 | 0.079 | AUG 1985 | 0.079 | 0.069 | 0.087 | 0.082 | | |
| 35-40 | JUN 1983 | .088 | 0.110 | 0.092 | .090 | 0.091 | JAN 1983 | 0.091 | 0.078 | 0.102 | 0.095 | | |
| 40-45 | JUL 1980 | .073 | 0.093 | 0.079 | 0.75 | 0.076 | APR 1980 | 0.075 | 0.064 | 0.085 | 0.082 | | |
| 45-50 | FEB 1977 | .093 | 0.119 | 0.100 | .092 | 0.096 | APR 1977 | 0.094 | 0.079 | 0.109 | 0.100 | | |
| PROBA | BILITY OF D | YING B | ETWEEN . | AGES 1 ANE |) 5 | | | | | | | | |
| 15-20 | OCT 1990 | .011 | 0.005 | 0.010 | .009 | 0.009 | DEC 1990 | 0.011 | 0.016 | 0.006 | 0.005 | | |
| 20-25 | SEP 1989 | .032 | 0.015 | 0.029 | .028 | 0.028 | OCT 1989 | 0.029 | 0.038 | 0.019 | 0.023 | | |
| 25-30 | FEB 1988 | .039 | 0.020 | 0.037 | .035 | 0.036 | NOV 1987 | 0.034 | 0.043 | 0.025 | 0.031 | | |
| 30-35 | DEC 1985 | .041 | 0.022 | 0.039 | .036 | 0.037 | AUG 1985 | 0.035 | 0.042 | 0.026 | 0.033 | | |
| 35-40 | JUN 1983 | .051 | 0.029 | 0.050 | .045 | 0.047 | JAN 1983 | 0.043 | 0.051 | 0.034 | 0.045 | | |
| 40-45 | JUL 1980 | .038 | 0.022 | 0.038 | .033 | 0.034 | APR 1980 | 0.032 | 0.037 | 0.025 | 0.032 | | |
| 45-50 | FEB 1977 | .056 | 0.034 | 0.057 | .046 | 0.050 | APR 1977 | 0.045 | 0.051 | 0.037 | 0.051 | | |
| LIFE EX | XPECTANCY | AT BIR | атн | | | | | | | | | | |
| 15-20 | OCT 1990 | 72.7 | 72.8 | 73.9 | 66.5 | 71.0 | DEC 1990 | 67.5 | 67.8 | 69.3 | 74.9 | | |
| 20-25 | SEP 1989 | 63.4 | 63.6 | 65.6 | 56.1 | 61.3 | OCT 1989 | 60.2 | 60.4 | 62.5 | 65.9 | | |
| 25-30 | FEB 1988 | 61.0 | 60.6 | 63.3 | 53.4 | 58.7 | NOV 1987 | 58.4 | 59.0 | 60.4 | 63.3 | | |
| 30-35 | DEC 1985 | 60.6 | 59.8 | 62.8 | 53.1 | 58.4 | AUG 1985 | 58.2 | 59.0 | 60.0 | 62.8 | | |
| 35-40 | JUN 1983 | 57.6 | 56.3 | 59.9 | 50.1 | 55.3 | JAN 1983 | 55.8 | 56.8 | 57.5 | 59.6 | | |
| 40-45 | JUL 1980 | 61.6 | 59.9 | 63.0 | 54.2 | 59.3 | APR 1980 | 59.1 | 60.4 | 60.4 | 63.0 | | |
| 45-50 | FEB 1977 | 56.4 | 54.5 | 58.1 | 49.7 | 54.3 | APR 1977 | 55.1 | 56.6 | 56.3 | 58.1 | | |

| ENUMER | ATION C | DF NOV | 1991 | | PROBABLITY OF DYING BEFORE AGE X | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---------|--------|---------|-----|----------------------------------|----------|---------|-------|---------------------|-------|----------------------|-------|-------|--|
| | AVERAG | GE NO. | PROPOR- | | | UNITED N | NATIONS | COAL | COALE-DEMENY MODELS | | | | | |
| AGE OF | OF CHIL | DREN | TION | AGE | (PALLONI-HELIGMAN EQUATIONS) | | | | | | (TRUSSELL EQUATIONS) | | | |
| | | SURVI | | | LAT | | SO | FAR | | | | | | |
| WOMAN | BORN | VING | DEAD | Х | AM | CHILEAN | ASIAN | EAST | GENERAL | WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH | |
| 15-20 | .153 | 0.133 | .131 | 1 | 0.146 | .159 | 0.147 | 0.144 | 0.145 | 0.159 | 0.157 | 0.157 | 0.153 | |
| 20-25 | 1.363 | 1.200 | .120 | 2 | 0.127 | .129 | 0.128 | 0.125 | 0.126 | 0.128 | 0.123 | 0.127 | 0.128 | |
| 25-30 | 2.784 | 2.393 | .140 | 3 | 0.142 | .144 | 0.143 | 0.141 | 0.141 | 0.139 | 0.132 | 0.140 | 0.141 | |
| 30-35 | 3.877 | 3.249 | .162 | 5 | 0.167 | .166 | 0.168 | 0.164 | 0.165 | 0.160 | 0.156 | 0.161 | 0.162 | |
| 35-40 | 4.786 | 3.845 | .197 | 10 | 0.208 | .203 | 0.208 | 0.204 | 0.207 | 0.197 | 0.200 | 0.199 | 0.200 | |
| 40-45 | 5.382 | 4.243 | .212 | 15 | 0.216 | .216 | 0.220 | 0.217 | 0.216 | 0.209 | 0.213 | 0.210 | 0.211 | |
| 45-50 | 5.716 | 4.347 | .240 | 20 | 0.246 | .244 | 0.245 | 0.247 | 0.246 | 0.235 | 0.237 | 0.236 | 0.236 | |

| Table A5: Indirect Estimation | of Early Age | Mortality for Rural areas | s, NFHS, 1991-92 |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|------------------|
|--------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|------------------|

MEAN AGE AT CHILDBEARING = 28.55

| ACE OF | DEFEDENCE | LAT | UN (PALLO | ITED NATIO NI-HELIGM | NS MODEL AN EQUAT | .S IONS) | DEFEDENCE | COALE-DEMENY MODELS (TRUSSELL EQUATIONS) | | | |
|---------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------|-----------|---|-------|-------|-------|
| WOMAN | DATE | AM | CHILEAN | SO. ASIAN F | AR EAST G | ENERAL | DATE | WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| INFANT | MORTALITY | RATE | | | | | | | | | |
| 15-20 | OCT 1990 | .146 | 0.159 | 0.147 | .144 | 0.145 | FEB 1991 | 0.159 | 0.157 | 0.157 | 0.153 |
| 20-25 | OCT 1989 | .100 | 0.116 | 0.101 | .102 | 0.102 | OCT 1989 | 0.105 | 0.097 | 0.111 | 0.103 |
| 25-30 | DEC 1987 | .100 | 0.122 | 0.103 | .104 | 0.104 | AUG 1987 | 0.105 | 0.092 | 0.114 | 0.103 |
| 30-35 | JUN 1985 | .105 | 0.131 | 0.109 | .109 | 0.109 | JAN 1985 | 0.110 | 0.095 | 0.122 | 0.108 |
| 35-40 | JUL 1982 | .117 | 0.149 | 0.122 | .120 | 0.121 | FEB 1982 | 0.123 | 0.104 | 0.138 | 0.120 |
| 40-45 | MAY 1979 | .116 | 0.152 | 0.125 | .119 | 0.120 | FEB 1979 | 0.123 | 0.103 | 0.141 | 0.121 |
| 45-50 | OCT 1975 | .123 | 0.159 | 0.132 | .120 | 0.126 | FEB 1976 | 0.128 | 0.107 | 0.149 | 0.127 |
| | II ITV OF DV | INC BF | TWEEN A | CES 1 AN | ID 5 | | | | | | |
| 15 20 | OCT 1000 | 173 III | 0 057 | 0 111 | 100 | 0 105 | FER 1001 | 0.093 | 0 128 | 0.065 | 0 122 |
| 20.25 | OCT 1990 | .125 | 0.032 | 0.058 | .100 | 0.057 | OCT 1989 | 0.053 | 0.068 | 0.039 | 0.054 |
| 20-23 | DEC 1987 | .003 | 0.035 | 0.060 | .050 | 0.058 | AUG 1987 | 0.053 | 0.064 | 0.040 | 0.055 |
| 20-35 | IUN 1985 | -00. 060 | 0.040 | 0.066 | .057 | 0.063 | IAN 1985 | 0.056 | 0.067 | 0.044 | 0.060 |
| 35-40 | ПП 1982 | .002 | 0.050 | 0.081 | 073 | 0.075 | FFB 1982 | 0.066 | 0.076 | 0.054 | 0.075 |
| 40-45 | MAY 1979 | .002 | 0.052 | 0.084 | 071 | 0.074 | FFR 1979 | 0.066 | 0.075 | 0.055 | 0.077 |
| 45-50 | OCT 1975 | .089 | 0.056 | 0.092 | .073 | 0.080 | FEB 1976 | 0.070 | 0.078 | 0.060 | 0.084 |
| | | TDIDT | | | | | | | | | |
| LIFE EX | PECTANCY A | TBIRT | H | 47.0 | <u> </u> | 12.2 | | 12 7 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 45.2 |
| 15-20 | OCT 1990 | 43.0 | 46.6 | 47.9 | 37.1 | 42.3 | FEB 1991 | 43./ | 40.6 | 49.0 | 45.2 |
| 20-25 | OCT 1989 | 54.6 | 55.1 | 57.8 | 46.9 | 52.5 | OCT 1989 | 53.0 | 52.5 | 55.9 | 57.4 |
| 25-30 | DEC 1987 | 54.5 | 54.0 | 57.5 | 46.5 | 52.2 | AUG 1987 | 53.1 | 53.4 | 55.5 | 57.3 |
| 30-35 | JUN 1985 | 53.3 | 52.1 | 56.1 | 45.3 | 50.9 | JAN 1985 | 52.1 | 52.8 | 54.3 | 56.1 |
| 35-40 | JUL 1982 | 50.4 | 48.7 | 53.2 | 42.6 | 48.0 | FEB 1982 | 49.7 | 50.7 | 51.7 | 53.1 |
| 40-45 | MAY 1979 | 50.6 | 48.2 | 52.6 | 42.9 | 48.3 | FEB 1979 | 49.6 | 50.9 | 51.3 | 52.8 |
| 45-50 | OCT 1975 | 49.0 | 46.9 | 51.0 | 42.6 | 47.0 | FEB 1976 | 48.8 | 50.2 | 50.1 | 51.4 |

| ENUMER | ATION O | F NOV | 1991 | | PROBABLITY OF DYING BEFORE AGE X | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---------|-------|---------|-----|----------------------------------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|
| | AVERAG | E NO. | PROPOR- | | UNITED NATIONS MODELS | | | | | | LE-DEMEN | NY MO | ODELS |
| AGE OF | OF CHIL | DREN | TION | AGE | (1 | PALLONI-H | ELIGMAN | N EQUAT | IONS) | (TR | USSELL EC | QUAT | IONS) |
| | | SURVI | | | LAT | | SO | FAR | | | | | |
| WOMAN | BORN | VING | DEAD | Х | AM | CHILEAN | ASIAN | EAST | GENERAL | WEST | NORTH E | EAST | SOUTH |
| 15-20 | .122 | 0.106 | .131 | 1 | 0.147 | .160 | 0.147 | 0.144 | 0.145 | 0.159 | 0.158 | 0.158 | 0.154 |
| 20-25 | 1.224 | 1.007 | .177 | 2 | 0.191 | .194 | 0.192 | 0.188 | 0.189 | 0.192 | 0.186 | 0.191 | 0.193 |
| 25-30 | 2.728 | 2.216 | .188 | 3 | 0.192 | .195 | 0.194 | 0.190 | 0.191 | 0.188 | 0.180 | 0.189 | 0.191 |
| 30-35 | 3.841 | 3.031 | .211 | 5 | 0.219 | .217 | 0.221 | 0.215 | 0.217 | 0.212 | 0.207 | 0.212 | 0.214 |
| 35-40 | 4.778 | 3.718 | .222 | 10 | 0.236 | .230 | 0.236 | 0.232 | 0.235 | 0.226 | 0.230 | 0.227 | 0.229 |
| 40-45 | 5.449 | 4.185 | .232 | 15 | 0.238 | .238 | 0.242 | 0.239 | 0.238 | 0.233 | 0.237 | 0.233 | 0.234 |
| 45-50 | 5.170 | 3.845 | .256 | 20 | 0.264 | .263 | 0.263 | 0.267 | 0.265 | 0.255 | 0.257 | 0.256 | 0.256 |

Table A6: Indirect Estimation of Early Age Mortality for Mountain, NFHS, 1991-92

MEAN AGE AT CHILDBEARING = 28.96

| ACE OF | REFERENCE | LS FIONS) | RFFFRFNCF | COA (TF | ALE-DEMI RUSSELL I | ENY MOI EQUATIO | DELS DNS) | | | | |
|-----------|-------------|--------------|------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------|-------|-------|-------|--------------|
| WOMAN | DATE | AM | CHILEAN | SO. ASIAN I | FAR EAST (| GENERAL | DATE | WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| INFANT | MORTALITY | RATE | E | | | | | | | | |
| 15-20 | OCT 1990 | .147 | 0.160 | 0.147 | .144 | 0.145 | FEB 1991 | 0.159 | 0.158 | 0.158 | 0.154 |
| 20-25 | OCT 1989 | .143 | 0.168 | 0.146 | .147 | 0.147 | NOV 1989 | 0.155 | 0.143 | 0.164 | 0.145 |
| 25-30 | MAR 1988 | .130 | 0.159 | 0.133 | .135 | 0.134 | NOV 1987 | 0.139 | 0.123 | 0.151 | 0.132 |
| 30-35 | NOV 1985 | .132 | 0.166 | 0.137 | .137 | 0.137 | JUN 1985 | 0.142 | 0.124 | 0.157 | 0.133 |
| 35-40 | JAN 1983 | .130 | 0.166 | 0.136 | .133 | 0.134 | SEP 1982 | 0.140 | 0.119 | 0.156 | 0.132 |
| 40-45 | DEC 1979 | .126 | 0.165 | 0.135 | .129 | 0.130 | OCT 1979 | 0.136 | 0.114 | 0.155 | 0.131 |
| 45-50 | JUN 1976 | .130 | 0.169 | 0.140 | .128 | 0.134 | OCT 1976 | 0.138 | 0.115 | 0.160 | 0.134 |
| PROBAB | ILITY OF DY | ING B | ETWEEN | AGES 1 | AND 5 | | | | | | |
| 15-20 | OCT 1990 | 124 | 0.058 | 0.111 | 100 | 0 106 | FEB 1991 | 0.093 | 0.129 | 0.066 | 0.123 |
| 20-25 | OCT 1989 | 117 | 0.063 | 0.109 | 103 | 0.106 | NOV 1989 | 0.090 | 0.114 | 0.069 | 0.111 |
| 25-30 | MAR 1988 | .117 | 0.057 | 0.093 | 089 | 0.091 | NOV 1987 | 0.078 | 0.095 | 0.062 | 0.091 |
| 30-35 | NOV 1985 | .100 | 0.061 | 0.097 | .001 | 0.093 | JUN 1985 | 0.081 | 0.095 | 0.065 | 0.093 |
| 35-40 | IAN 1983 | .100 | 0.061 | 0.096 | 087 | 0.090 | SEP 1982 | 0.079 | 0.090 | 0.065 | 0.092 |
| 40-45 | DEC 1979 | .093 | 0.060 | 0.095 | .082 | 0.085 | OCT 1979 | 0.076 | 0.086 | 0.064 | 0.090 |
| 45-50 | JUN 1976 | .098 | 0.063 | 0.102 | .081 | 0.089 | OCT 1976 | 0.078 | 0.087 | 0.067 | 0.095 |
| I IFF FVI | | TDID | TH | | | | | | | | |
| LIFE EAL | PECIANCY A | 42 0 | лн 46 5 | 17.8 | 37.0 | 12.2 | FED 1001 | 13.6 | 40.4 | 18 8 | 45.1 |
| 15-20 | OCT 1990 | 42.9 | 40.5 | 47.0 | 37.0 | 42.2 | FEB 1991 | 43.0 | 40.4 | 40.0 | 45.1 |
| 20-25 | OCT 1989 | 43.9 | 45.1 | 40.2 50.0 | 20.1 | 42.0 | NOV 1989 | 44.2 | 45.0 | 40.0 | 40.9 50.2 |
| 25-30 | MAR 1988 | 47.2 | 40.8 | 50.9 | 39.1 | 44.9 | NOV 1987 | 40.9 | 40.8 | 49.8 | 50.2 |
| 30-35 | NOV 1985 | 46.8 | 45.5 | 50.2 | 38.8 20.6 | 44.5 | JUN 1985 | 46.3 | 46./ | 49.0 | 49.9 |
| 35-40 | JAN 1983 | 47.3 | 45.6 | 50.4 | 39.6 | 45.0 | SEP 1982 | 46.8 | 4/./ | 49.0 | 50.1 |
| 40-45 | DEC 1979 | 48.3 | 45.8 | 50.5 | 40.6 | 45.9 | OCT 1979 | 47.3 | 48.6 | 49.2 | 50.4 |
| 45-50 | JUN 1976 | 47.2 | 45.0 | 49.4 | 40.7 | 45.2 | OCT 1976 | 46.9 | 48.3 | 48.4 | 49.6 |

Table A7: Indirect Estimation of Early Age Mortality for Hill, NFHS, 1991-92

ENUMERATION OF NOV 1991

PROBABLITY OF DYING BEFORE AGE X

| | AVE | RAGE | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|--------|-------|---------|-----|--------|-----------|-----------|----------|---------|-------|---------|---------|-------|
| | N | О. | PROPOR- | | | UNITEI | O NATIONS | 5 MODELS | | CO | ALE-DEN | IENY MO | DELS |
| AGE OF | OF CHI | LDREN | TION | AGE | (. | PALLONI-H | IELIGMAN | EQUATION | NS) | (TI | RUSSELL | EQUATIO | DNS) |
| | | SURVI | | | | | | | | | | | |
| WOMAN | BORN | VING | DEAD | Х | LAT AM | CHILEAN | SO ASIAN | FAR EAST | GENERAL | WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| 15-20 | .104 | 0.092 | .115 | 1 | 0.132 | .143 | 0.132 | 0.129 | 0.130 | 0.145 | 5 0.143 | 0.142 | 0.140 |
| 20-25 | 1.185 | 1.077 | .091 | 2 | 0.098 | .100 | 0.099 | 0.097 | 0.098 | 0.099 | 0.096 | 0.099 | 0.100 |
| 25-30 | 2.602 | 2.266 | .129 | 3 | 0.132 | .134 | 0.133 | 0.131 | 0.131 | 0.129 | 0.124 | 0.130 | 0.131 |
| 30-35 | 3.702 | 3.180 | .141 | 5 | 0.146 | .145 | 0.147 | 0.144 | 0.145 | 0.141 | 0.137 | 0.141 | 0.142 |
| 35-40 | 4.637 | 3.820 | .176 | 10 | 0.188 | .182 | 0.187 | 0.184 | 0.186 | 0.178 | 0.181 | 0.179 | 0.180 |
| 40-45 | 5.203 | 4.221 | .189 | 15 | 0.193 | .193 | 0.197 | 0.195 | 0.194 | 0.188 | 0.191 | 0.189 | 0.189 |
| 45-50 | 5.628 | 4.383 | .221 | 20 | 0.228 | .227 | 0.227 | 0.230 | 0.229 | 0.219 | 0.221 | 0.219 | 0.220 |

MEAN AGE AT CHILDBEARING = 28.89

| ACE OF | DEFEDENCE | LAT | UNIT (PALLON | TED NATIO | NS MODEL: AN EQUATI | S IONS) | DEFEDENCE | CO (T | ALE-DEME RUSSELL E | NY MODEI | LS S) |
|---------|------------------|-------|-----------------|-------------|------------------------|------------|-----------|----------|-----------------------|----------|----------|
| WOMAN | DATE | AM | CHILEAN S | O. ASIAN FA | AR EAST G | ENERAL | DATE | WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| INFANT | MORTALIT | Y RAT | Έ | | | | | | | | |
| 15-20 | OCT 1990 | .132 | 0.143 | 0.132 | .129 | 0.130 | MAR 1991 | 0.145 | 0.143 | 0.142 | 0.140 |
| 20-25 | NOV 1989 | .079 | 0.091 | 0.081 | .081 | 0.081 | DEC 1989 | 0.083 | 0.077 | 0.088 | 0.083 |
| 25-30 | MAR 1988 | .094 | 0.113 | 0.096 | .098 | 0.097 | NOV 1987 | 0.098 | 0.087 | 0.107 | 0.098 |
| 30-35 | SEP 1985 | .094 | 0.116 | 0.098 | .098 | 0.097 | MAY 1985 | 0.098 | 0.085 | 0.108 | 0.098 |
| 35-40 | NOV 1982 | .107 | 0.136 | 0.112 | .110 | 0.111 | JUN 1982 | 0.112 | 0.095 | 0.126 | 0.111 |
| 40-45 | AUG 1979 | .106 | 0.138 | 0.114 | .109 | 0.110 | JUN 1979 | 0.111 | 0.094 | 0.127 | 0.112 |
| 45-50 | FEB 1976 | .115 | 0.149 | 0.124 | .114 | 0.119 | JUN 1976 | 0.119 | 0.100 | 0.138 | 0.120 |
| PROBAI | BILITY OF D | VING | BETWEEN | AGES 1 | AND 5 | | | | | | |
| 15-20 | OCT 1990 | .104 | 0.047 | 0.093 | .083 | 0.088 | MAR 1991 | 0.082 | 0.115 | 0.057 | 0.104 |
| 20-25 | NOV 1989 | .043 | 0.021 | 0.039 | .037 | 0.038 | DEC 1989 | 0.038 | 0.049 | 0.026 | 0.034 |
| 25-30 | MAR 1988 | .057 | 0.031 | 0.054 | .051 | 0.052 | NOV 1987 | 0.048 | 0.059 | 0.036 | 0.049 |
| 30-35 | SEP 1985 | .057 | 0.032 | 0.055 | .051 | 0.052 | MAY 1985 | 0.048 | 0.057 | 0.037 | 0.049 |
| 35-40 | NOV 1982 | .071 | 0.043 | 0.070 | .063 | 0.065 | JUN 1982 | 0.058 | 0.067 | 0.047 | 0.064 |
| 40-45 | AUG 1979 | .070 | 0.044 | 0.072 | .061 | 0.064 | JUN 1979 | 0.057 | 0.066 | 0.047 | 0.065 |
| 45-50 | FEB 1976 | .080 | 0.050 | 0.083 | .066 | 0.073 | JUN 1976 | 0.630 | 0.071 | 0.054 | 0.076 |
| LIFE EX | XPECTANCY | AT BI | RTH | | | | | | | | |
| 15-20 | OCT 1990 | 46.4 | 49.6 | 50.9 | 40.3 | 45.5 | MAR 1991 | 46.0 | 43.0 | 51.1 | 48.2 |
| 20-25 | NOV 1989 | 59.9 | 60.3 | 62.5 | 52.5 | 57.8 | DEC 1989 | 57.3 | 57.1 | 59.9 | 62.5 |
| 25-30 | MAR 1988 | 56.1 | 55.6 | 58.9 | 48.1 | 53.8 | NOV 1987 | 54.4 | 54.7 | 56.7 | 58.7 |
| 30-35 | SEP 1985 | 56.1 | 55.0 | 58.6 | 18.2 | 53.7 | MAY 1985 | 54.4 | 55.2 | 56.5 | 58.7 |
| 35-40 | NOV 1982 | 52.7 | 51.2 | 55.4 | 45.0 | 50.4 | JUN 1982 | 51.7 | 52.7 | 53.6 | 55.3 |
| 40-45 | AUG 1979 | 53.1 | 50.8 | 55.0 | 45.4 | 50.7 | JUN 1979 | 51.8 | 53.1 | 53.4 | 55.1 |
| 45-50 | FEB 1976 | 50.8 | 48.7 | 52.8 | 44.2 | 48.7 | JUN 1976 | 50.3 | 51.7 | 51.7 | 53.1 |

Table A8: Indirect Estimation of Early Age Mortality forTerai, NFHS, 1991-92

ENUMERATION OF NOV 1991

PROBABLITY OF DYING BEFORE AGE X

| | AVERAG | E NO. | PROPOR- | | UNITED NATIONS MODELS | | | | | | LE-DEMENY M | ODELS |
|--------|----------|-------|---------|-----|-----------------------|-----------|--------|-----------|---------|-------|--------------|-------|
| AGE OF | OF CHILI | DREN | TION | AGE | (1 | PALLONI-H | ELIGMA | N EQUATIO | ONS) | (TR | USSELL EQUAT | IONS) |
| | | SURVI | | | LAT | | SO | FAR | | | | |
| WOMAN | BORN | VING | DEAD | Х | AM | CHILEAN | ASIAN | EAST | GENERAL | WEST | NORTH EAST | SOUTH |
| 15-20 | .202 | 0.177 | .124 | 1 | 0.135 | .148 | 0.135 | 0.134 | 0.134 | 0.144 | 0.142 0.144 | 0.139 |
| 20-25 | 1.487 | 1.294 | .130 | 2 | 0.136 | .139 | 0.137 | 0.134 | 0.135 | 0.136 | 0.130 0.136 | 0.136 |
| 25-30 | 2.895 | 2.496 | .138 | 3 | 0.139 | .141 | 0.140 | 0.137 | 0.138 | 0.135 | 0.128 0.136 | 0.137 |
| 30-35 | 3.967 | 3.304 | .167 | 5 | 0.172 | .171 | 0.173 | 0.169 | 0.170 | 0.165 | 0.160 0.165 | 0.166 |
| 35-40 | 4.812 | 3.830 | .204 | 10 | 0.216 | .210 | 0.216 | 0.211 | 0.214 | 0.204 | 0.207 0.206 | 0.207 |
| 40-45 | 5.396 | 4.222 | .218 | 15 | 0.221 | .221 | 0.226 | 0.222 | 0.221 | 0.215 | 0.218 0.216 | 0.216 |
| 45-50 | 5.761 | 4.345 | .246 | 20 | 0.251 | .250 | 0.251 | 0.252 | 0.252 | 0.241 | 0.243 0.242 | 0.242 |

MEAN AGE AT CHILDBEARING = 28.33

| ACE OF | DEFEDENCE | LS TIONS) | DEFEDENCE | C0 (T | ALE-DEMI RUSSELL I | ENY MOD EQUATIO | DELS (NS) | | | | |
|----------|--------------|--------------|-----------|-------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| WOMAN | DATE | AM | CHILEAN | SO. ASIAN I | FAR EAST (| GENERAL | DATE | WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| INFANT | MORTALITY | RATE | | | | | | | | | |
| 15-20 | OCT 1990 | .135 | 0.148 | 0.135 | .134 | 0.134 | JAN 1991 | 0.144 | 0.142 | 0.144 | 0.139 |
| 20-25 | SEP 1989 | .106 | 0.124 | 0.108 | .109 | 0.109 | AUG 1989 | 0.112 | 0.102 | 0.119 | 0.108 |
| 25-30 | NOV 1987 | .098 | 0.119 | 0.101 | .102 | 0.101 | JUN 1987 | 0.102 | 0.090 | 0.112 | 0.101 |
| 30-35 | MAY 1985 | .108 | 0.135 | 0.112 | .112 | 0.111 | NOV 1984 | 0.113 | 0.097 | 0.125 | 5 0.110 |
| 35-40 | JUN 1982 | .120 | 0.153 | 0.126 | .123 | 0.125 | DEC 1981 | 0.127 | 0.108 | 0.143 | 0.123 |
| 40-45 | APR 1979 | .118 | 0.155 | 0.128 | .121 | 0.123 | DEC 1978 | 0.126 | 0.106 | 0.144 | 0.124 |
| 45-50 | SEP 1975 | .125 | 0.162 | 0.135 | .123 | 0.128 | DEC 1975 | 0.131 | 0.109 | 0.152 | 0.129 |
| PROBAB | ILITY OF DYI | NG BF | TWEEN / | AGES 1 A | ND 5 | | | | | | |
| 15-20 | OCT 1990 | .108 | 0.050 | 0.097 | .088 | 0.093 | JAN 1991 | 0.082 | 0.114 | 0.057 | 0.102 |
| 20-25 | SEP 1989 | .070 | 0.036 | 0.065 | .062 | 0.064 | AUG 1989 | 0.058 | 0.074 | 0.043 | 0.061 |
| 25-30 | NOV 1987 | .061 | 0.034 | 0.058 | .055 | 0.056 | JUN 1987 | 0.051 | 0.062 | 0.039 | 0.052 |
| 30-35 | MAY 1985 | .072 | 0.042 | 0.069 | 0.64 | 0.066 | NOV 1984 | 0.058 | 0.069 | 0.046 | 0.063 |
| 35-40 | JUN 1982 | .086 | 0.053 | 0.085 | .076 | 0.079 | DEC 1981 | 0.069 | 0.079 | 0.057 | 0.079 |
| 40-45 | APR 1979 | .084 | 0.054 | 0.087 | .074 | 0.077 | DEC 1978 | 0.068 | 0.078 | 0.057 | 0.080 |
| 45-50 | SEP 1975 | .092 | 0.058 | 0.095 | .075 | 0.083 | DEC 1975 | 0.072 | 0.081 | 0.062 | 2 0.087 |
| LIFE EXI | PECTANCY AT | F BIRT | TH | | | | | | | | |
| 15-20 | OCT 1990 | 45.6 | 48.8 | 50.2 | 39.3 | 44.6 | JAN 1991 | 46.0 | 43.1 | 50.9 | 48.5 |
| 20-25 | SEP 1989 | 53.0 | 53.5 | 56.4 | 45.2 | 50.8 | AUG 1989 | 51.8 | 51.3 | 54.8 | 56.1 |
| 25-30 | NOV 1987 | 55.0 | 54.5 | 58.0 | 47.0 | 52.7 | JUN 1987 | 53.6 | 54.0 | 55.9 | 57.9 |
| 30-35 | MAY 1985 | 52.7 | 51.5 | 55.5 | 44.7 | 50.3 | NOV 1984 | 51.6 | 52.3 | 53.8 | 55.6 |
| 35-40 | JUN 1982 | 49.6 | 47.9 | 52.4 | 41.9 | 47.2 | DEC 1981 | 49.0 | 50.0 | 51.0 | 52.3 |
| 40-45 | APR 1979 | 50.1 | 47.6 | 52.0 | 42.7 | 47.7 | DEC 1978 | 49.1 | 50.4 | 50.8 | 52.2 |
| 45-50 | SEP 1975 | 48.4 | 46.3 | 50.5 | 42.1 | 46.4 | DEC 1975 | 48.3 | 49.7 | 49.6 | 5 50.9 |

Table A9: Indirect Estimation of Early Age Mortality for Eastern Region, Nepal, NFHS, 1991-92

ENUMERATION OF NOV 1991

PROBABLITY OF DYING BEFORE AGE X

| | AVERA | GE NO. 1 | PROPOR | - | UNITED NATIONS MODELS | | | | | | LE-DEME | NY MC | DELS |
|--------|---------|--------------|--------|-----|-----------------------|------------|---------|---------|---------|-------|----------|-------|-------|
| AGE OF | OF CHII | LDREN | TION | AGE | | (PALLONI-I | HELIGMA | N EQUAT | IONS) | (TR | USSELL E | QUATI | ONS) |
| | | SURVI | | | LAT | | SO | FAR | | | | | |
| WOMAN | BORN | VING | DEAD | Х | AM | CHILEAN | ASIAN | EAST | GENERAL | WEST | NORTH 1 | EAST | SOUTH |
| 15-20 | .108 | 0.094 | .130 | 1 | 0.147 | .160 | 0.148 | 0.145 | 0.146 | 0.162 | 0.160 | 0.159 | 0.156 |
| 20-25 | 1.180 | 1.050 | .110 | 2 | 0.119 | .121 | 0.119 | 0.117 | 0.118 | 0.120 | 0.116 | 0.119 | 0.120 |
| 25-30 | 2.567 | 2.264 | .118 | 3 | 0.121 | .122 | 0.121 | 0.119 | 0.120 | 0.118 | 0.113 | 0.119 | 0.120 |
| 30-35 | 3.618 | 3.116 | .139 | 5 | 0.144 | .143 | 0.145 | 0.141 | 0.142 | 0.138 | 0.135 | 0.139 | 0.140 |
| 35-40 | 4.432 | 3.700 | .165 | 10 | 0.176 | .171 | 0.175 | 0.172 | 0.174 | 0.167 | 0.169 | 0.168 | 0.169 |
| 40-45 | 5.361 | 4.421 | .175 | 15 | 0.180 | .180 | 0.183 | 0.181 | 0.180 | 0.175 | 0.177 | 0.175 | 0.176 |
| 45-50 | 5.587 | 4.481 | .198 | 20 | 0.204 | .203 | 0.203 | 0.206 | 0.205 | 0.196 | 0.197 | 0.196 | 0.196 |

MEAN AGE AT CHILDBEARING = 28.85

| ACE OF | LS FIONS) | DEFEDENCE | CO (T | OALE-DEMI TRUSSELL I | ENY MODE EQUATION | LS S) | | | | | |
|----------------|----------------------|-----------|----------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------|----------------------|-------|---------|-------|-------|
| WOMAN | DATE | AM | CHILEAN | SO. ASIAN I | FAR EAST (| GENERAL | DATE | WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| INFANT M | ORTALITY R | ATE | | | | | | | | | |
| 15-20 | OCT 1990 | .147 | 0.160 | 0.148 | .145 | 0.146 | MAR 1991 | .162 | .160 | .159 | .156 |
| 20-25 | NOV 1989 | .094 | 0.108 | 0.095 | .096 | 0.096 | DEC 1989 | .099 | .091 | .104 | .097 |
| 25-30 | FEB 1988 | .087 | 0.104 | 0.089 | .090 | 0.090 | NOV 1987 | .090 | .080 | .098 | .091 |
| 30-35 | SEP 1985 | .093 | 0.115 | 0.096 | .096 | 0.096 | APR 1985 | .096 | .084 | .106 | .097 |
| 35-40 | OCT 1982 | .102 | 0.128 | 0.106 | .105 | 0.105 | JUN 1982 | .105 | .090 | .119 | .106 |
| 40-45 | AUG 1979 | .100 | 0.129 | 0.108 | .102 | 0.104 | JUN 1979 | .104 | .088 | .119 | .107 |
| 45-50 | FEB 1976 | .105 | 0.136 | 0.114 | .104 | 0.108 | JUN 1976 | .107 | .090 | .125 | .111 |
| | TTV OF DVIN | C DET | WEEN A | CEC 1 AN | ID 5 | | | | | | |
| 15 20 | | 10 DE 1 | 0.058 | GES I AN 0 112 | 101 | 0 106 | MAD 1001 | 0.095 | 0 1 3 1 | 0.066 | 0 127 |
| 20.25 | NOV 1090 | .125 | 0.028 | 0.053 | .101 | 0.100 | DEC 1090 | 0.029 | 0.063 | 0.035 | 0.127 |
| 20-25 | NUV 1989 FED 1089 | .037 | 0.020 | 0.035 | .030 | 0.031 | NOV 1097 | 0.042 | 0.005 | 0.032 | 0.041 |
| 20.25 | SED 1985 | .050 | 0.027 | 0.054 | .043 | 0.010 | ADD 1005 | 0.046 | 0.052 | 0.032 | 0.048 |
| 25 40 | SEF 1985 | .050 | 0.032 | 0.064 | .050 | 0.060 | AFK 1903 | 0.053 | 0.062 | 0.043 | 0.058 |
| 33-40 40 45 | AUG 1982 | .005 | 0.039 | 0.065 | .037 | 0.058 | JUN 1982 | 0.055 | 0.062 | 0.043 | 0.058 |
| 40-43 | FEB 1976 | .069 | 0.042 | 0.005 | .033 | 0.062 | JUN 1979 JUN 1976 | 0.052 | 0.060 | 0.046 | 0.050 |
| | 122 17,0 | | | | 100 / | | 00101070 | | | | |
| LIFE EXP | ECTANCY AT | BIRTH | ł | | | | | | | | |
| 15-20 | OCT 1990 | 42.8 | 46.4 | 47.6 | 36.9 | 42.1 | MAR 1991 | 43.2 | 40.0 | 48.6 | 44.5 |
| 20-25 | NOV 1989 | 56.1 | 56.7 | 59.1 | 48.5 | 54.0 | DEC 1989 | 54.2 | 53.7 | 57.1 | 58.8 |
| 25-30 | FEB 1988 | 57.9 | 57.4 | 60.5 | 50.1 | 55.6 | NOV 1987 | 55.9 | 56.4 | 58.1 | 60.5 |
| 30-35 | SEP 1985 | 56.4 | 55.3 | 58.9 | 48.5 | 54.1 | APR 1985 | 54.7 | 55.5 | 56.8 | 59.0 |
| 35-40 | OCT 1982 | 54.1 | 52.7 | 56.7 | 46.4 | 51.8 | JUN 1982 | 52.9 | 54.0 | 54.8 | 56.6 |
| 40-45 | AUG 1979 | 54.6 | 52.5 | 56.4 | 47.0 | 52.3 | JUN 1979 | 53.2 | 54.5 | 54.7 | 56.5 |
| 45-50 | FEB 1976 | 53.2 | 51.2 | 55.1 | 46.6 | 51.1 | JUN 1976 | 52.6 | 54.0 | 53.8 | 55.4 |

Table A10: Indirect Estimation of Early Age Mortality for Central Region, Nepal, NFHS, 1991-92

ENUMERATION OF NOV 1991

PROBABLITY OF DYING BEFORE AGE X

| | AVERAG | E NO. | PROPOR | - | | UNITE | D NATIONS | .S | COA | LE-DEMI | ENY MO | DELS | |
|--------|----------|--------|--------|-----|-------|----------|-----------|-------|---------|---------|----------|--------|-------|
| AGE OF | OF CHILE | DREN | TION | AGE | (| PALLONI- | HELIGMAN | EQUAT | IONS) | (TR | USSELL H | EQUATI | ONS) |
| | S | URVIVI | | | LAT | | | FAR | | | | | |
| WOMAN | BORN | NG | DEAD | Х | AM | CHILEAN | SO ASIAN | EAST | GENERAL | WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| 15-20 | .174 | 0.151 | .132 | 1 | 0.145 | .158 | 0.145 | 0.143 | 0.144 | 0.155 | 0.153 | 0.154 | 0.149 |
| 20-25 | 1.350 | 1.206 | .107 | 2 | 0.112 | .115 | 0.113 | 0.111 | 0.112 | 0.113 | 0.108 | 0.113 | 0.112 |
| 25-30 | 2.715 | 2.357 | .132 | 3 | 0.133 | .135 | 0.134 | 0.132 | 0.132 | 0.130 | 0.124 | 0.131 | 0.132 |
| 30-35 | 3.836 | 3.165 | .175 | 5 | 0.18 | .179 | 0.181 | 0.177 | 0.178 | 0.173 | 0.168 | 0.174 | 0.175 |
| 35-40 | 4.697 | 3.748 | .202 | 10 | 0.214 | .208 | 0.214 | 0.210 | 0.212 | 0.203 | 0.206 | 0.204 | 0.206 |
| 40-45 | 5.045 | 3.968 | .213 | 15 | 0.217 | .218 | 0.222 | 0.218 | 0.218 | 0.212 | 0.215 | 0.213 | 0.213 |
| 45-50 | 5.636 | 4.268 | .243 | 20 | 0.249 | .247 | 0.248 | 0.250 | 0.249 | 0.239 | 0.241 | 0.240 | 0.240 |

MEAN AGE AT CHILDBEARING = 28.47

| ACE OF | UNITED NATIONS MODELS AGE OF REFERENCE LAT (PALLONI-HELIGMAN EQUATIONS) | | | | | | DEFEDENCE | СС (Т | ALE-DEMI RUSSELL I | ENY MOD EQUATIO | ELS NS) |
|-----------|--|--------|---------|-------------|------------|---------|-----------|----------|-----------------------|--------------------|------------|
| WOMAN | DATE | AM | CHILEAN | SO. ASIAN H | FAR EAST C | GENERAL | DATE | WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| INFANT M | ORTALITY RA | TE | | | | | | | | | |
| 15-20 | OCT 1990 | .145 | 0.158 | 0.145 | .143 | 0.144 | JAN 1991 | 0.155 | 0.153 | 0.154 | 0.149 |
| 20-25 | SEP 1989 | .089 | 0.103 | 0.091 | .092 | 0.092 | SEP 1989 | 0.094 | 0.086 | 0.099 | 0.092 |
| 25-30 | DEC 1987 | .095 | 0.115 | 0.097 | .099 | 0.098 | JUL 1987 | 0.099 | 0.087 | 0.108 | 0.098 |
| 30-35 | JUN 1985 | .112 | 0.140 | 0.116 | .116 | 0.116 | JAN 1985 | 0.118 | 0.102 | 0.131 | 0.115 |
| 35-40 | AUG 1982 | .119 | 0.152 | 0.125 | .123 | 0.124 | FEB 1982 | 0.126 | 0.107 | 0.142 | 0.123 |
| 40-45 | JUL 1979 | .117 | 0.153 | 0.126 | .119 | 0.121 | MAR 1979 | 0.124 | 0.104 | 0.142 | 0.122 |
| 45-50 | DEC 1975 | .124 | 0.161 | 0.134 | .122 | 0.127 | MAR 1976 | 0.130 | 0.108 | 0.151 | 0.128 |
| PROBABII | ITY OF DYING | - BETV | VEEN AG | ES 1 ANT |) 5 | | | | | | |
| 15-20 | OCT 1990 | .121 | 0.057 | 0.109 | .099 | 0.104 | JAN 1991 | 0.090 | 0.124 | 0.064 | 0.117 |
| 20-25 | SEP 1989 | .053 | 0.026 | 0.048 | .046 | 0.047 | SEP 1989 | 0.045 | 0.058 | 0.032 | 0.043 |
| 25-30 | DEC 1987 | .058 | 0.031 | 0.055 | .052 | 0.053 | JUL 1987 | 0.048 | 0.059 | 0.037 | 0.049 |
| 30-35 | JUN 1985 | .077 | 0.045 | 0.074 | .069 | 0.070 | JAN 1985 | 0.062 | 0.074 | 0.049 | 0.068 |
| 35-40 | AUG 1982 | .085 | 0.052 | 0.084 | .075 | 0.078 | FEB 1982 | 0.069 | 0.079 | 0.056 | 0.079 |
| 40-45 | JUL 1979 | .082 | 0.052 | 0.085 | .072 | 0.075 | MAR 1979 | 0.067 | 0.076 | 0.056 | 0.078 |
| 45-50 | DEC 1975 | .092 | 0.057 | 0.094 | .074 | 0.082 | MAR 1976 | 0.071 | 0.080 | 0.061 | 0.086 |
| LIFE EXPF | CTANCY AT F | RIRTH | | | | | | | | | |
| 15-20 | OCT 1990 | 43.4 | 46.8 | 48.2 | 37.2 | 42.5 | JAN 1991 | 44.3 | 41.2 | 49.3 | 46.1 |
| 20-25 | SEP 1989 | 57.2 | 57.6 | 60.2 | 49.6 | 55.1 | SEP 1989 | 55.2 | 55.0 | 57.9 | 60.1 |
| 25-30 | DEC 1987 | 55.9 | 55.3 | 58.7 | 47.9 | 53.6 | JUL 1987 | 54.3 | 54.7 | 56.5 | 58.7 |
| 30-35 | JUN 1985 | 51.6 | 50.4 | 54.5 | 43.6 | 49.2 | JAN 1985 | 50.6 | 51.3 | 52.9 | 54.5 |
| 35-40 | AUG 1982 | 49.8 | 48.1 | 52.6 | 42.0 | 47.4 | FEB 1982 | 49.1 | 50.1 | 51.1 | 52.5 |
| 40-45 | JUL 1979 | 50.5 | 48.1 | 52.4 | 42.8 | 48.1 | MAR 1979 | 49.4 | 50.7 | 51.1 | 52.5 |
| 45-50 | DEC 1975 | 48.7 | 46.6 | 50.8 | 42.3 | 46.7 | MAR 1976 | 48.5 | 49.8 | 49.8 | 51.1 |

| Table A11: Indirect Estimation of | f Early Age Mo | rtality for Western | Region, Nepal | NFHS, 1991-92 |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ,, |

ENUMERATION OF NOV 1991

PROBABLITY OF DYING BEFORE AGE X

| | AVERA | AGE NO. | PROPOR- | | | UNITE | D NATIONS | | COA | LE-DEM | ENY MO | DELS | |
|--------|-------|---------|---------|-----|-------|-----------|-----------|----------|---------|--------|--------|--------|-------|
| AGE OF | OF CH | ILDREN | TION | AGE | | (PALLONI- | HELIGMAN | EQUATION | S) | (TR | USSELL | EQUATI | ONS) |
| | | SURVIV | | | | | | | | | | | |
| WOMAN | BORN | ING | DEAD | Х | LATAM | CHILEAN | SO ASIAN | FAR EAST | GENERAL | WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| 15-20 | .115 | 0.110 | .043 | 1 | 0.050 | .054 | 0.050 | 0.049 | 0.049 | 0.055 | 0.054 | 0.054 | 0.053 |
| 20-25 | 1.283 | 1.161 | .095 | 2 | 0.102 | .104 | 0.103 | 0.101 | 0.102 | 0.103 | 0.100 | 0.103 | 0.103 |
| 25-30 | 2.747 | 2.452 | .107 | 3 | 0.109 | .111 | 0.110 | 0.108 | 0.109 | 0.107 | 0.102 | 0.108 | 0.108 |
| 30-35 | 3.687 | 3.261 | .116 | 5 | 0.119 | .119 | 0.120 | 0.117 | 0.118 | 0.115 | 0.112 | 0.115 | 0.116 |
| 35-40 | 4.569 | 3.787 | .171 | 10 | 0.182 | .177 | 0.181 | 0.178 | 0.181 | 0.172 | 0.175 | 0.173 | 0.174 |
| 40-45 | 5.152 | 4.176 | .189 | 15 | 0.194 | .194 | 0.197 | 0.195 | 0.194 | 0.188 | 0.191 | 0.189 | 0.189 |
| 45-50 | 5.393 | 4.130 | .234 | 20 | 0.241 | .240 | 0.240 | 0.243 | 0.242 | 0.231 | 0.232 | 0.232 | 0.232 |

MEAN AGE AT CHILDBEARING = 28.77

| AGE OF | REFERENCE | LAT | UNITED NATIONS MODELS T (PALLONI-HELIGMAN EQUATIONS) RI | | | | REFERENCE | CC (T | DALE-DEMI 'RUSSELL I | ENY MOD EQUATIO | ELS NS) |
|----------|--------------|------|--|-------------|----------|---------|-----------|----------|-------------------------|--------------------|------------|
| WOMAN | DATE | AM | CHILEAN | SO. ASIAN I | FAR EAST | GENERAL | DATE | WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| INFANT M | IORTALITY R | ATE | | | | | | | | | |
| 15-20 | OCT 1990 | .050 | 0.054 | 0.050 | .049 | 0.049 | MAR 1991 | 0.055 | 0.054 | 0.054 | 0.053 |
| 20-25 | NOV 1989 | .082 | 0.094 | 0.083 | .084 | 0.084 | DEC 1989 | 0.086 | 0.079 | 0.091 | 0.086 |
| 25-30 | FEB 1988 | .080 | 0.096 | 0.082 | .083 | 0.083 | OCT 1987 | 0.083 | 0.073 | 0.090 | 0.084 |
| 30-35 | AUG 1985 | .080 | 0.097 | 0.083 | .082 | 0.082 | MAR 1985 | 0.082 | 0.071 | 0.090 | 0.084 |
| 35-40 | SEP 1982 | .105 | 0.132 | 0.110 | .107 | 0.108 | APR 1982 | 0.109 | 0.092 | 0.122 | 0.109 |
| 40-45 | JUN 1979 | .106 | 0.138 | 0.114 | .109 | 0.110 | APR 1979 | 0.111 | 0.094 | 0.127 | 0.112 |
| 45-50 | NOV 1975 | .121 | 0.156 | 0.130 | .119 | 0.124 | APR 1976 | 0.125 | 0.105 | 0.146 | 0.125 |
| PROBABI | LITY OF DYIN | G BE | TWEEN A | .GES 1 AN | D 5 | | | | | | |
| 15-20 | OCT 1990 | .019 | 0.008 | 0.017 | .016 | 0.016 | MAR 1991 | 0.020 | 0.030 | 0.012 | 0.010 |
| 20-25 | NOV 1989 | .046 | 0.022 | 0.042 | .040 | 0.041 | DEC 1989 | 0.040 | 0.052 | 0.028 | 0.036 |
| 25-30 | FEB 1988 | .044 | 0.023 | 0.041 | .039 | 0.039 | OCT 1987 | 0.037 | 0.046 | 0.027 | 0.035 |
| 30-35 | SEP 1985 | .043 | 0.024 | 0.041 | .038 | 0.039 | MAR 1985 | 0.036 | 0.044 | 0.027 | 0.035 |
| 35-40 | OCT 1982 | .068 | 0.041 | 0.067 | .060 | 0.063 | APR 1982 | 0.055 | 0.064 | 0.045 | 0.061 |
| 40-45 | JUN 1979 | .070 | 0.044 | 0.072 | .061 | 0.064 | APR 1979 | 0.057 | 0.066 | 0.047 | 0.065 |
| 45-50 | NOV 1975 | .087 | 0.055 | 0.090 | .071 | 0.078 | APR 1976 | 0.068 | 0.076 | 0.058 | 0.082 |
| LIFE EXP | ECTANCY AT | BIRT | Н | | | | | | | | |
| 15-20 | OCT 1990 | 68.3 | 68.8 | 70.1 | 61.9 | 66.7 | MAR 1991 | 63.5 | 63.0 | 66.1 | 70.6 |
| 20-25 | NOV 1989 | 59.2 | 2 59.6 | 61.9 | 51.7 | 57.1 | DEC 1989 | 56.7 | 56.5 | 59.3 | 61.8 |
| 25-30 | FEB 1988 | 59.7 | 59.3 | 62.2 | 52.0 | 57.5 | OCT 1987 | 57.5 | 58.0 | 59.5 | 62.3 |
| 30-35 | AUG 1985 | 59.9 | 58.9 | 62.1 | 52.2 | 57.6 | MAR 1985 | 57.7 | 58.6 | 59.5 | 62.3 |
| 35-40 | SEP 1982 | 53.4 | 4 51.9 | 56.0 | 45.7 | 51.1 | APR 1982 | 52.3 | 53.4 | 54.2 | 55.9 |
| 40-45 | JUN 1979 | 53.0 |) 50.8 | 54.9 | 45.3 | 50.7 | APR 1979 | 51.8 | 53.1 | 53.4 | 55.1 |
| 45-50 | NOV 1975 | 49.5 | 5 47.4 | 51.5 | 43.0 | 47.4 | APR 1976 | 49.2 | 50.6 | 50.6 | 51.9 |

Table A12: Indirect Estimation of Early Age Mortality for Mid-Western Region, Nepal, NFHS, 1991-92

ENUMERATION OF NOV 1991

PROBABLITY OF DYING BEFORE AGE X

| | AVERA | GE NO. | PROPOR- | | UNITED NATIONS MODELS | | | | | | COALE-DEMENY MODELS | | | |
|--------|----------------------|--------|---------|----|-----------------------|----------|---------|----------------------|---------|-------|---------------------|-------|--|--|
| AGE OF | OF CHILDREN TION AGE | | | | (P | ALLONI-H | ELIGMAN | (TRUSSELL EQUATIONS) | | | | | | |
| | | SURVI | | | | | SO | FAR | | | | | | |
| WOMAN | BORN | VING | DEAD | Х | LAT AM | CHILEAN | ASIAN | EAST | GENERAL | WEST | NORTH EAST | SOUTH | | |
| 15-20 | .180 | 0.149 | .172 | 1 | 0.195 | .212 | 0.196 | 0.192 | 0.193 | 0.212 | 0.210 0.209 | 0.205 | | |
| 20-25 | 1.528 | 1.308 | .144 | 2 | 0.151 | .154 | 0.152 | 0.149 | 0.150 | 0.151 | 0.146 0.152 | 0.151 | | |
| 25-30 | 2.862 | 2.347 | .180 | 3 | 0.180 | .183 | 0.181 | 0.178 | 0.179 | 0.174 | 0.165 0.176 | 0.177 | | |
| 30-35 | 4.250 | 3.408 | .198 | 5 | 0.202 | .202 | 0.204 | 0.199 | 0.200 | 0.192 | 0.186 0.194 | 0.195 | | |
| 35-40 | 5.299 | 4.075 | .231 | 10 | 0.243 | .237 | 0.243 | 0.238 | 0.242 | 0.228 | 0.230 0.230 | 0.231 | | |
| 40-45 | 5.911 | 4.414 | .253 | 15 | 0.256 | .257 | 0.262 | 0.257 | 0.257 | 0.246 | 0.249 0.248 | 0.248 | | |
| 45-50 | 6.112 | 4.413 | .278 | 20 | 0.284 | .282 | 0.283 | 0.284 | 0.284 | 0.268 | 0.270 0.271 | 0.270 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

MEAN AGE AT CHILDBEARING = 28.16

| AGE OF | REFERENCE | UNITED NATIONS MODELS LAT (PALLONI-HELIGMAN EQUATIONS) REFERENCE | | | | | | COALE-DEMENY MODELS (TRUSSELL EQUATIONS) | | | | |
|---------|--------------|---|---------|-------------|------------|---------|----------|---|-------|-------|-------|--|
| WOMAN | DATE | AM | CHILEAN | SO. ASIAN I | FAR EAST (| GENERAL | DATE | WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH | |
| INFANT | MORTALITY | RATE | E | | | | | | | | | |
| 15-20 | OCT 1990 | .195 | 0.212 | 0.196 | .192 | 0.193 | MAR 1991 | 0.212 | 0.210 | 0.209 | 0.205 | |
| 20-25 | SEP 1989 | .116 | 0.136 | 0.118 | .120 | 0.119 | OCT 1989 | 0.124 | 0.113 | 0.131 | 0.118 | |
| 25-30 | OCT 1987 | .122 | 0.151 | 0.126 | .128 | 0.127 | JUN 1987 | 0.130 | 0.114 | 0.142 | 0.123 | |
| 30-35 | FEB 1985 | .123 | 0.156 | 0.128 | .128 | 0.128 | AUG 1984 | 0.130 | 0.112 | 0.144 | 0.124 | |
| 35-40 | DEC 1981 | .133 | 0.170 | 0.139 | .136 | 0.138 | JUN 1981 | 0.141 | 0.119 | 0.159 | 0.133 | |
| 40-45 | AUG 1978 | .133 | 0.176 | 0.145 | .137 | 0.138 | APR 1978 | 0.144 | 0.120 | 0.164 | 0.137 | |
| 45-50 | DEC 1974 | .138 | 0.179 | 0.149 | .135 | 0.142 | MAY 1975 | 0.145 | 0.121 | 0.169 | 0.140 | |
| PROBAE | BILITY OF DY | ING B | ETWEEN | AGES 1 A | AND 5 | | | | | | | |
| 15-20 | OCT 1990 | .197 | 0.097 | 0.179 | .163 | 0.170 | MAR 1991 | 0.133 | 0.180 | 0.097 | 0.198 | |
| 20-25 | SEP 1989 | .082 | 0.043 | 0.076 | .073 | 0.075 | OCT 1989 | 0.067 | 0.085 | 0.050 | 0.074 | |
| 25-30 | OCT 1987 | .089 | 0.051 | 0.084 | .081 | 0.082 | JUN 1987 | 0.071 | 0.085 | 0.056 | 0.080 | |
| 30-35 | FEB 1985 | .090 | 0.054 | 0.087 | .081 | 0.083 | AUG 1984 | 0.071 | 0.083 | 0.057 | 0.081 | |
| 35-40 | DEC 1981 | .102 | 0.064 | 0.100 | .090 | 0.094 | JUN 1981 | 0.079 | 0.090 | 0.066 | 0.093 | |
| 40-45 | AUG 1978 | .102 | 0.068 | 0.107 | .090 | 0.094 | APR 1978 | 0.082 | 0.092 | 0.069 | 0.098 | |
| 45-50 | DEC 1974 | .108 | 0.070 | 0.113 | .088 | 0.098 | MAY 1975 | 0.083 | 0.093 | 0.073 | 0.103 | |
| LIFE EX | PECTANCY A | T BIR | TH | | | | | | | | | |
| 15-20 | OCT 1990 | 32.8 | 37.5 | 38.3 | 27.9 | 32.5 | MAR 1991 | 35.9 | 32.3 | 41.9 | 34.8 | |
| 20-25 | SEP 1989 | 50.4 | 51.1 | 54.0 | 42.6 | 48.3 | OCT 1989 | 49.6 | 48.9 | 52.8 | 53.5 | |
| 25-30 | OCT 1987 | 49.0 | 48.3 | 52.5 | 40.8 | 46.6 | JUN 1987 | 48.5 | 48.8 | 51.2 | 52.2 | |
| 30-35 | FEB 1985 | 48.8 | 47.4 | 52.0 | 40.7 | 46.5 | AUG 1984 | 48.4 | 49.2 | 50.8 | 52.1 | |
| 35-40 | DEC 1981 | 46.6 | 44.8 | 49.6 | 38.9 | 44.3 | JUN 1981 | 46.6 | 47.7 | 48.7 | 49.8 | |
| 40-45 | AUG 1978 | 46.4 | 43.8 | 48.5 | 38.8 | 44.1 | APR 1978 | 46.1 | 47.4 | 47.9 | 49.0 | |
| 45-50 | DEC 1974 | 45.4 | 43.2 | 47.5 | 39.2 | 43.4 | MAY 1975 | 45.8 | 47.2 | 47.1 | 48.2 | |

Table A13: Indirect Estimation of Early Age Mortality for Far-Western Region, Nepal, NFHS, 1991-92

ENUMERATION OF NOV 1991

PROBABLITY OF DYING BEFORE AGE X

| | AVERA | GE NO. 1 | PROPOR- | | | UNITED NATIONS MODELS | | | | | | COALE-DEMENY MODELS | | | |
|--------|----------------------|----------|---------|----|--------|------------------------------|----------|-------|---------|-------|---------|----------------------|-------|--|--|
| AGE OF | OF CHILDREN TION AGE | | | | (P | (PALLONI-HELIGMAN EQUATIONS) | | | | | | (TRUSSELL EQUATIONS) | | | |
| | | SURVIV | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| WOMAN | BORN | ING | DEAD | Х | LAT AM | CHILEAN | SO ASIAN | EAST | GENERAL | WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH | | |
| 15-20 | .234 | 0.208 | .111 | 1 | 0.117 | .129 | 0.117 | 0.117 | 0.117 | 0.124 | 4 0.121 | 0.124 | 0.118 | | |
| 20-25 | 1.561 | 1.311 | .160 | 2 | 0.168 | .172 | 0.169 | 0.166 | 0.167 | 0.168 | 8 0.160 | 0.168 | 0.167 | | |
| 25-30 | 3.181 | 2.564 | .194 | 3 | 0.196 | .199 | 0.198 | 0.194 | 0.195 | 0.192 | 2 0.183 | 0.193 | 0.195 | | |
| 30-35 | 4.343 | 3.487 | .197 | 5 | 0.203 | .202 | 0.205 | 0.200 | 0.201 | 0.197 | 0.192 | 0.197 | 0.199 | | |
| 35-40 | 5.308 | 4.129 | .222 | 10 | 0.235 | .229 | 0.235 | 0.231 | 0.234 | 0.225 | 5 0.229 | 0.226 | 0.228 | | |
| 40-45 | 5.741 | 4.376 | .238 | 15 | 0.242 | .243 | 0.247 | 0.243 | 0.243 | 0.238 | 0.242 | 0.239 | 0.240 | | |
| 45-50 | 5.926 | 4.400 | .258 | 20 | 0.264 | .263 | 0.263 | 0.266 | 0.265 | 0.256 | 6 0.258 | 0.256 | 0.256 | | |

MEAN AGE AT CHILDBEARING = 28.54

| ACE OF | REFERENCE | LAT | UNI (PALLO | TED NATIO NI-HELIGM | DNS MODEI IAN EQUAT | LS TIONS) | REFERENCE | COALE-DEMENY MODELS (TRUSSELL EQUATIONS) | | | |
|----------|---------------|--------|---------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------|-----------|---|-------|-------|-------|
| WOMAN | DATE | AM | CHILEAN | SO. ASIAN I | FAR EAST C | GENERAL | DATE | WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| INFANT N | IORTALITY RA | TE | | | | | | | | | |
| 15-20 | OCT 1990 | .117 | 0.129 | 0.117 | .117 | 0.117 | DEC 1990 | 0.124 | 0.121 | 0.124 | 0.118 |
| 20-25 | AUG 1989 | .128 | 0.150 | 0.130 | .132 | 0.131 | AUG 1989 | 0.136 | 0.124 | 0.145 | 0.129 |
| 25-30 | NOV 1987 | .132 | 0.163 | 0.136 | .138 | 0.137 | JUL 1987 | 0.142 | 0.125 | 0.154 | 0.134 |
| 30-35 | AUG 1985 | .124 | 0.156 | 0.128 | .129 | 0.128 | FEB 1985 | 0.133 | 0.115 | 0.147 | 0.126 |
| 35-40 | DEC 1982 | .129 | 0.165 | 0.135 | .133 | 0.134 | JUN 1982 | 0.139 | 0.119 | 0.156 | 0.132 |
| 40-45 | DEC 1979 | .127 | 0.167 | 0.138 | .131 | 0.132 | AUG 1979 | 0.139 | 0.117 | 0.158 | 0.133 |
| 45-50 | JAN 1976 | .130 | 0.169 | 0.141 | .128 | 0.134 | AUG 1976 | 0.139 | 0.116 | 0.161 | 0.134 |
| PROBABI | LITY OF DYING | G BETV | WEEN AG | ES 1 ANI |) 5 | | | | | | |
| 15-20 | OCT 1990 | .085 | 0.039 | 0.076 | .070 | 0.073 | DEC 1990 | 0.067 | 0.093 | 0.046 | 0.074 |
| 20-25 | AUG 1989 | .096 | 0.051 | 0.090 | .085 | 0.088 | AUG 1989 | 0.076 | 0.096 | 0.058 | 0.088 |
| 25-30 | NOV 1987 | .101 | 0.059 | 0.096 | .092 | 0.093 | JUL 1987 | 0.080 | 0.096 | 0.063 | 0.094 |
| 30-35 | AUG 1985 | .091 | 0.055 | 0.088 | .082 | 0.083 | FEB 1985 | 0.073 | 0.087 | 0.059 | 0.083 |
| 35-40 | DEC 1982 | .097 | 0.061 | 0.096 | .086 | 0.089 | JUN 1982 | 0.078 | 0.090 | 0.064 | 0.092 |
| 40-45 | DEC 1979 | .095 | 0.062 | 0.098 | .084 | 0.087 | AUG 1979 | 0.078 | 0.089 | 0.066 | 0.093 |
| 45-50 | JAN 1976 | .098 | 0.063 | 0.102 | .080 | 0.089 | AUG 1976 | 0.078 | 0.088 | 0.067 | 0.095 |
| LIFE EXP | ECTANCY AT E | BIRTH | | | | | | | | | |
| 15-20 | OCT 1990 | 49.9 | 52.4 | 54.1 | 43.1 | 48.6 | DEC 1990 | 49.6 | 47.3 | 53.9 | 53.6 |
| 20-25 | AUG 1989 | 47.6 | 48.4 | 51.5 | 39.8 | 45.5 | AUG 1989 | 47.4 | 46.6 | 50.8 | 50.9 |
| 25-30 | NOV 1987 | 46.6 | 46.1 | 50.3 | 38.5 | 44.3 | JUL 1987 | 46.4 | 46.5 | 49.3 | 49.8 |
| 30-35 | AUG 1985 | 48.7 | 47.4 | 51.9 | 40.6 | 46.3 | FEB 1985 | 47.9 | 48.5 | 50.5 | 51.6 |
| 35-40 | DEC 1982 | 47.4 | 45.7 | 50.4 | 39.7 | 45.1 | JUN 1982 | 46.8 | 47.7 | 49.0 | 50.1 |
| 40-45 | DEC 1979 | 47.9 | 45.3 | 50.0 | 40.2 | 45.5 | AUG 1979 | 46.8 | 48.0 | 48.7 | 49.9 |
| 45-50 | JAN 1976 | 47.2 | 45.1 | 49.3 | 40.8 | 45.2 | AUG 1976 | 46.9 | 48.2 | 48.4 | 49.5 |

| Male | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|--------|---------|---------|--------|--------|-----------|---------|--------|--|--|--|
| AGE | M(X,N) | Q(X,N) | 1(X) | D(X,N) | L(X,N) | S(X,N) | T(X) | E(X) | | | |
| 0 | .10112 | 0.09456 | 100000. | 9456 | 93516 | .89224/A/ | 5500000 | 55.000 | | | |
| 1 | .01031 | 0.04016 | 90544. | 3636 | 352604 | .96764/B/ | 5406484 | 59.711 | | | |
| 5 | .00265 | 0.01314 | 86907. | 1142 | 431682 | .98854 | 5053880 | 58.153 | | | |
| 10 | .00196 | 0.00976 | 85765. | 837 | 426735 | .98807 | 4622198 | 53.893 | | | |
| 15 | .00303 | 0.01507 | 84928. | 1280 | 421645 | .98169 | 4195463 | 49.400 | | | |
| 20 | .00431 | 0.02134 | 83649. | 1785 | 413923 | .97768 | 3773819 | 45.115 | | | |
| 25 | .00464 | 0.02296 | 81864. | 1879 | 404683 | .97560 | 3359895 | 41.043 | | | |
| 30 | .00530 | 0.02616 | 79984. | 2093 | 394810 | .97132 | 2955212 | 36.947 | | | |
| 35 | .00643 | 0.03168 | 77892. | 2468 | 383487 | .96415 | 2560402 | 32.871 | | | |
| 40 | .00830 | 0.04068 | 75424. | 3069 | 369740 | .95336 | 2176915 | 28.862 | | | |
| 45 | .01100 | 0.05360 | 72356. | 3878 | 352495 | .93677 | 1807175 | 24.976 | | | |
| 50 | .01544 | 0.07443 | 68478. | 5097 | 330209 | .91210 | 1454680 | 21.243 | | | |
| 55 | .02182 | 0.10369 | 63381. | 6572 | 301182 | .87529 | 1124471 | 17.742 | | | |
| 60 | .03221 | 0.14945 | 56809. | 8490 | 263621 | .82171 | 823290 | 14.492 | | | |
| 65 | .04740 | 0.21251 | 48319. | 10268 | 216620 | .74601 | 559668 | 11.583 | | | |
| 70 | .07168 | 0.30443 | 38050. | 11584 | 161601 | .63888 | 343049 | 9.016 | | | |
| 75 | .11032 | 0.43036 | 26467. | 11390 | 103245 | .43099/C/ | 181447 | 6.856 | | | |
| 80 | .19279 | | 15076. | 15076 | 78203 | | 78203 | 5.187 | | | |

APPENDIX TABLE:8

Male and Female Life Tables^{1/}, Nepal, 1991

Female

| AGE | M(X,N) | Q(X,N) | 1(X) | D(X,N) | L(X,N) | S(X,N) | T(X) | E(X) |
|-----|--------|---------|---------|--------|--------|-----------|---------|--------|
| 0 | .10845 | 0.10131 | 100000. | 10131 | 93415 | .87906/A/ | 5350015 | 53.500 |
| 1 | .01462 | 0.05633 | 89869. | 5062 | 346117 | .95632/B/ | 5256601 | 58.492 |
| 5 | .00352 | 0.01746 | 84807. | 1481 | 420333 | .98450 | 4910483 | 57.902 |
| 10 | .00272 | 0.01350 | 83326. | 1125 | 413820 | .98415 | 4490150 | 53.886 |
| 15 | .00387 | 0.01917 | 82202. | 1576 | 407259 | .97788 | 4076330 | 49.589 |
| 20 | .00505 | 0.02493 | 80626. | 2010 | 398249 | .97326 | 3669071 | 45.507 |
| 25 | .00575 | 0.02835 | 78616. | 2229 | 387598 | .96982 | 3270822 | 41.605 |
| 30 | .00652 | 0.32070 | 76387. | 2449 | 375902 | .96597 | 2883224 | 37.745 |
| 35 | .00735 | 0.03611 | 73937. | 2670 | 363108 | .96164 | 2507322 | 33.911 |
| 40 | .00835 | 0.04090 | 71267. | 2915 | 349179 | .95583 | 2144213 | 30.087 |
| 45 | .00991 | 0.04837 | 68352. | 3306 | 333755 | .94403 | 1795034 | 26.262 |
| 50 | .01343 | 0.06505 | 65046. | 4231 | 315074 | .92469 | 1461279 | 22.465 |
| 55 | .01829 | 0.08763 | 60815. | 5329 | 291348 | .89326 | 1146204 | 18.847 |
| 60 | .02762 | 0.12956 | 55485. | 7189 | 260249 | .84454 | 854857 | 15.407 |
| 65 | .04097 | 0.18644 | 48296. | 9004 | 219791 | .77216 | 594608 | 12.312 |
| 70 | .06441 | 0.27822 | 39292. | 10932 | 169714 | .66619 | 374817 | 9.539 |
| 75 | .10078 | 0.40175 | 28360. | 11394 | 113061 | .44876/C/ | 205103 | 7.232 |
| 80 | .18434 | | 16967. | 16967 | 92041 | | 92041 | 5.425 |

^{1/} Derived from Coale-Demeny West Model Life Tables, corresponding to e_0° of 55.0 and 53.5 years for males and females respectively.

/A/ VALUE GIVEN IS FOR SURVIVORSHIP OF 5 COHORTS OF BIRTH TO AGE GROUP 0-4 = L(0,5)/500000

/B/ VALUE GIVEN IS FOR S(0,5)=L(5,5)/L(0,5)

/C/ VALUE GIVEN IS S(75+,5)=T(80)/T(75)

References

A.J. Coale & Demeny, 1966, <u>Regional Model Life Tables and Stable Populations</u>, Princeton University Press Preston N.J.

Arriaga, Eduardo E. and Associates, 1992, <u>Population Analysis with Micro Computers Volume I</u> and II, Center for International Research U.S. Bureau of the Census 1992.

Central Bureau of Statistics (CBS), 1983, <u>Population Census 1981, Vol. I, Part I,</u> His Majesty's Government (HMG), National Planning Commission (NPC), Ramshahpath, Kathmandu, Nepal.

CBS, 1987a, Population Monograph of Nepal, 1987, HMG, NPC, Kathmandu, Nepal.

CBS, 1987b, Demographic Sample Survey 1986/87, First Report, HMG, NPC, Kathmandu, Nepal.

CBS, 2044/45, <u>Tathyanka Gatibidhi</u>, Four Monthly Statistical Bulletin 2nd quarterly, 2044/45, HMG, NPC, Nepal.

CBS, 1993a, <u>The Analysis of the 1991 Population Census</u>, (Based on Advance Tables), HMG, NPC, Nepal.

CBS, 1993b, Population Census 1991 Vol. I Part I, HMG, NPC, Nepal.

CBS, 1993c, Population Census 1991, Vol. I, Part XII, HMG, NPC, Nepal.

CBS, 1994, Population Projection for Nepal, 1991-2011, HMG, NPC, Kathmandu, Nepal.

Ministry of Health (MOH), 1983, <u>Nepal Contraceptive Preventence Survey Report 1981</u>, HMG, Nepal.

MOH, 1987, Nepal Fertility and Family Planning Survey Report 1986, HMG, Nepal.

MOH, 1993, Nepal Fertility, Family Planning and Health Survey 1991, Main Report, HMG, Nepal.

Palloni, A. and L. Heligman, 1985, "Re-estimation of Structural Parameters to Obtain Estimates of Mortality in Developing Countries", <u>Population Bulletin of United Nations</u>, No. 18, pp. 1-33.

Preston, S, 1983, "An Integrated System for Demographic Estimation from Age Distribution", Demography, Vol. 20, No. 2, pp. 213-226.

Preston, S. and N. Bennett, 1983, "A Census Based Method for Estimating Adult Mortality", <u>Population Studies</u>, Vol. 37, No. I, pp. 91-104.

Shryock, Henry S., Jacob S. Siegel and Associates, 1976, <u>The Methods and Materials of Demography</u> Academic Press Inc. New York, USA.

United Nations (UN), 1967, Manual IV, Methods of Estimating Basic Demographic Measures for Incomplete Data, <u>Population Studies No. 42</u>, New York.

UN, 1982, Model Life Tables for Developing Countries, Population Studies ESA/SER A/77.

UN, 1983, Manual X, Indirect Techniques for Demographic Estimation, Department of International Economic and Social Affairs, <u>Population Studies, No. 81.</u>

UN, 1988, Mortpak-Lite, The United Nations Software Package for Mortality Measurement Interactive Software for the IBM/PC and Compatibles, <u>Population Studies No. 104.</u>

World Bank, 1994, World Development Report, 1993, Washington D.C.